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PROCEEDINGS
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Good morning, everyone. Hope you're all at least in a somewhat cool place.

All right. We're here this morning in Docket DE 19-064, a hearing regarding the Liberty Utilities Corporation Step Adjustment for effect July 1st, 2020. I need to do the usual findings. I apologize because I think all of you have heard this before.

As Chairwoman of the Public Utilities Commission, I find that due to the state of emergency declared by the Governor as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and in accordance with the Governor's Emergency Order No. 2, pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is authorized to meet electronically. Please note that there is no physical location to observe and listen contemporaneously to this hearing which was authorized pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Order. However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are utilizing Webex for this electronic
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hearing. All members of the Commission have the ability to communicate contemporaneously during this hearing through this platform, and the public has access to contemporaneously listen and, if necessary, participate. We previously gave notice to the public of the necessary information for accessing the hearing in the Order of Notice. If anybody has a problem during the hearing, please call (603)271-2431. In the event the public is unable to access the hearing, the hearing will be adjourned and rescheduled.

Okay. I know Mr. Wind went over the ground rules, so I'm going to keep it to put your hand up if you need me to recognize you, unless you're making an objection. And if you need a recess, please do let me know. Let's start by taking roll call attendance of the Commissioners. When each Commissioner states your presence, please identify where you are, and if anyone else is with you, who they are.

My name is Dianne Martin. I'm the
Chairwoman of Public Utilities Commission. I
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am in the office at the Commission, and no one is with me.

Commissioner Bailey.
COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Good morning.
I'm Commissioner Kathryn Bailey. I'm in my home, and no one is with me.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Giaimo.

COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: Good morning. Michael Giaimo. I am at the PUC offices here in Concord, and no one is with me.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. Now let's take appearances, starting with Mr. Sheehan.

MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning. Mike Sheehan for Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric Corp.) I'm in my office because there's air conditioning.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr.
Kreis.
MR. KREIS: Good morning,
Chairwoman Martin, Commissioners, everybody else. I am D. Maurice Kreis, the Consumer Advocate, here on behalf of residential
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utility customers.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Mr.
Dexter.
MR. DEXTER: My apologies. I was muted, Chairwoman. May I state my appearance again?

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes, please.
MR. DEXTER: Thank you. Good morning. Appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff, Paul Dexter, Staff Counsel. And I'm joined by Brian D. Buckley, Staff counsel as well.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And for preliminary matters, I have an updated exhibit list that was filed late Friday afternoon, with Exhibits 41 through 62 prefiled and marked for identification. Is that right?

MR. SHEEHAN: That is correct.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Any other preliminary matters?

MR. SHEEHAN: I have two. The
first is we filed a Motion for Confidential Treatment of Data Request of Staff 2-3. The
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confidential version of that has been marked as Exhibit 51. The motion tracks what we have filed in prior cases to make confidential what we have provided in discovery. Again, there's not a requirement for a ruling on this request now. To the extent we discuss what is in the confidential portion of that exhibit, I think it would be very easy just to point to the exhibit and not have to say the numbers. All that's redacted in that exhibit is various load numbers of customers. So that's one. The other one is I'd like to raise I guess what $I$ would characterize as a "mild objection" to what I understand may be Staff's line of cross-examination today. As we all know, this step adjustment arises out of the settlement agreement that we discussed last week and is pending before the

Commission. And Staff indicated last week that it may cross-examine the witnesses on whether particular projects should be included on the list of this step adjustment. There's a dozen projects or so that we
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performed and completed in 2019 for which we're seeking recovery now.

Our reading of the settlement agreement is that the selection of those projects is not subject -- is subject to the agreement -- that is, Staff agreed that these projects should be included in the step and shall be included in the step, and that the prudence review that would happen today as between the Company and Staff at least, is the prudence of execution of those projects. Certainly we can't bind the Commission, but the settlement agreement we believe does bind Staff. And the operative language of the settlement agreement is as follows: "The Company shall be permitted to recover approximately 1.4 million in additional annual revenues in the form of a step increase in rates for capital additions in service as of December 31, 2019, as shown in Attachment 1." Attachment 1 was the list of projects that was attached to the settlement agreement, and the exact document is sort of the outline of this hearing, the projects for
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which we're seeking to recover.
All that being said, we're not the
least bit concerned about that line of cross-examination because we are satisfied that the evidence presented today will support the selection and execution of those projects. But $I$ thought it necessary to raise the issue because, in our view, the cross-examination on whether the project should be on the list, Staff has agreed to those projects and should not go down that road.

So with that, we have two witnesses ready to proceed.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Sheehan, can you give me the page for the language you were quoting?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. That's Exhibit 37, the settlement agreement at Page 4.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Am I understanding you right that you don't have an objection to the examination; you essentially were arguing for why the Staff --
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why the Commission should allow all of the projects that are on the list? But it sounded at the end like you were saying you were okay with the cross-examination.

MR. SHEEHAN: So the agreement between the parties was to include all the projects on the list. Our understanding is Staff may cross-examine, saying some of the projects should not be on the list, that undertaking two of those projects was in fact imprudent. We think that was foreclosed by their agreeing to the settlement. So that's the position. And like I said, a mild objection. It's more of a process concern rather than a substance concern. We're confident that we will prevail even if such a line of questioning were to ensue.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. That's what $I$ was understanding you to say. I just wanted to make sure there wasn't an objection that we actually needed to rule on. And I'd suggest you cover that in your closing as well.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
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MR. DEXTER: May I respond?
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes.
MR. DEXTER: While we're doing this via Internet, and I wasn't sure if Attorney Sheehan said he had a "violent" objection or a "mild" objection. So I'm glad to hear at the end it was a "mild" objection.

Let me give you, if I could, Staff's position on the list which we're going to get into in detail.

Step adjustments are, I'll call them an extraordinary cost-recovery mechanism. And they're extraordinary in that they cover assets that are outside of the test year in any rate case. And everyone knows the test year in this case was 2018. All of these investments were made in or close to plant, put in service in 2019; therefore, they were not subject to scrutiny in the main part of this case, and therefore, the only place that these assets will be subject to scrutiny is the step adjustment. And the need for scrutiny is one of the primary reasons that, in this case, the
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step-adjustment period of review has been expanded from what it has been in past cases.

The settlement agreement now allows for a 90-day review period and requires the Company to file upfront all sorts of information that previously had to be garnered through discovery. So in a sense, we've gained several months for review. And it's necessary because these assets are significant, costly, and require a prudence review not just in the execution of the projects, but in the selection of the projects themselves.

The reason for the list is these projects, which total about \$8- or \$9 million, I believe are about one third of the Company's annual construction budget. I may be off a little bit on that. But it's certainly a subset of their entire budget. They were put on the list so that the step adjustment had some boundaries around it. And we all agree that these 11 projects would be subject -- would be allowed for recovery in the step adjustment, subject to prudence
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review, as testified to by Mr. Mullen at the settlement hearing, and as testified to by Mr. Dudley at the settlement hearing. There are all sorts of projects that aren't on the list and that aren't for review in this step adjustment. This is just a subset of the Company's capital budget.

So I disagree with counsel on the scope of this proceeding. This is the only opportunity for the Commission to decide whether these assets were prudent. And by "prudent," we believe the Commission needs to look at were they appropriate projects to pursue, and were they pursued in a prudent fashion; are the assets used and useful, and reflect prudently-incurred expenses. So we do intend to examine many of these projects in great detail today, including the decision to make the -- to go down the road to select the project. And I'll give you an example so that this isn't just in the abstract.

One of the assets on the list is $\$ 600,000$, roughly, spent on a decision to move the customer walk-in center in Salem.
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And up until recently, customers that wanted to pay their bill would walk up to a center at a facility on Lowell Road in Salem. And during 2019, the Company decided to lease a building and renovate it and move this walk-up center to Main Street. That decision we believe needs to be reviewed today. If it's not reviewed today, there's absolutely no opportunity for the Commission to review it. These assets didn't exist during the test year.

And so that's just my example of why a full prudence review is appropriate at this time, and again, reiterating that we specifically expanded the review period of step adjustments to allow for this. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr.
Kreis, do you have a position?
MR. KREIS: I do not have a position.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.
Given that we just heard a lot, I want to make sure. Do either of the other
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Commissioners have questions related to that? Commissioner Bailey.

QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONERS:
COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank You.
Mr. Sheehan, the settlement was signed on May 22nd. And the testimony about the step increases was filed on May 26 th, and I think that that was by agreement. So what was the purpose of the testimony if not to review the prudence of these 12 projects?

MR. SHEEHAN: Couple things,
Commissioner. First, I don't dispute the Commission's right to examine the prudence of selecting these projects. That is exactly what this hearing is for. My issue is Staff has agreed to those projects, and Staff's position today, we think, should be these projects were prudently selected. We've already made that check by agreeing to them being on the list, and it's now up for you to decide that as well. So it's really just to confirm that the agreement indicates Staff's agreement to the list of projects.

As far as the timing, we formally
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filed it on May 25 because we couldn't file it before we filed the settlement agreement. In fact, we provided the draft of that filing to Staff many weeks before. I don't have the number off the top of my head. But we knew it was coming, and we had it ready to go roughly a month ago -- (connectivity issue)
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
MR. SHEEHAN: It was ready several
weeks before, and we provided it to them then.

And last, Mr. Dexter is right that these are 2019 investments. But they were part of the discovery in this case. The list of capital projects from which these 11 projects were selected for the step was provided to Staff in discovery in July of 2019, Attachment OCA 2-12. And after providing the spending through May 31 for those projects, we updated that in a subsequent data request in October of 2019 to further update the costs. So these projects were on the list, so to speak, throughout the discovery of this case. And it was Staff's
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testimony in this case that initially said no step adjustment. We now have the settlement agreement that includes this and other step adjustments. We certainly can't go into the process from -- (connectivity issue) -- but clearly that process included a discussion of what would be on that list.

And last, to Staff's comment that this is an extraordinary process, we beg to differ. Pretty much every rate case this Commission approves has step adjustments. It's not extraordinary. It is different than a test-year concept, something the Commission -- (connectivity issue). So I hope I answered the question.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else, Commissioner Bailey?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Giaimo, any questions?

COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: I guess I'm struggling to -- Mr. Sheehan, would you have an issue with friendly cross?

MR. SHEEHAN: Again, the issue I
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have is with Staff saying, in effect, the decision to move the walk-in center was imprudent. We believe they've already agreed it was prudent by including it on the list. And the question here is did we execute that project well. Again, the Commission has every right to say the project was not prudent, but we can't bind you to the settlement. But we can bind the parties to the settlement. So friendly cross, if they want to ask us to explain why we included it in the settlement agreement, fine. But it can't be a cross to suggest that it was an improper decision to make, if that helps. COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Bailey.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I'd like to ask Mr. Dexter what he thinks the language in the settlement agreement that says, "The Company shall be permitted to recover approximately $\$ 1.4$ million in the form of a step increase in rates for capital additions in service." Your position is that they can
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recover up to 1.4 million and that that's all subject to a regular prudence review?

MR. DEXTER: Yes, Commissioner. I don't think it's capped at 1.4 million. It's capped at these 12 -- $11,12,13$ projects. We've estimated them to be about $\$ 9$ million in assets, which produces roughly \$1.4 million. We didn't have the final numbers at the time of the settlement, so we went with those, with the understanding, actually, that prudently-incurred costs of these projects would be recoverable.

But let me give, if I could, let me give a completely absurd example to demonstrate my point. Let's say that the Company -- and again, this is an absurd hypothetical on purpose. Let's say the Company decided to move their customer information walk-up center to Main Street because the chairman of the board of Liberty's brother owned that building on Main Street, and it would be a lot of money for the chairman of the board's brother. So they did it. And we discovered that some point
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during the case, whether it was in the underlying rate case or whether it was in the step adjustment phase. We, Staff, would want to make an argument that those costs should be excluded from rate base because they weren't a least cost option because they were done to favor the brother-in-law (sic) of the chairman of the board in my ridiculous example. These assets were not in the test year. We could not, in our testimony back in December, have argued that these costs be excluded from rate base because they weren't in rate base. So that means we're left with the step adjustment. And I think it would be a poor decision to allow a situation like that to occur, where a clearly imprudent expense was allowed in rate base based on the choice of the facility rather than the execution of the project itself. This is our only opportunity to make the argument, and it's the Commission's only opportunity to decide that issue. They simply weren't in rate base in the test year.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any follow-up,
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Commissioner Bailey?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr.
Sheehan, do you have a response to that?
MR. SHEEHAN: Just briefly. In
that absurd example, Staff would have had, in the case of the walk-in center, more than a year to discover and find out that it was an insider deal and had not approved --
(connectivity issue)
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
MR. SHEEHAN: Staff had the opportunity to conduct discovery on the walk-in center in the last year. And if they discovered that it was an insider deal, they would not have agreed to include the walk-in center on this list -- (connectivity issue)

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Dexter, it sounded by your example, though, that it would be on the list but that you would be arguing against it being prudent.

MR. DEXTER: Rest assured, had Staff discovered that during the test year, during the regular rate case, we would not
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have agreed to put that on the list. But in the rate case, we're primarily focused on the test-year investments. This is a post-test-year investment. It appears there was some discovery on it during the test year -- during the main rate case. We appreciate the Company answering that discovery. But we have our hands full in a rate case trying to look at the test-year investments. And again, step adjustments, you know, I guess they're not extraordinary in the sense that they're -- they occur routinely. But they are extraordinary in the sense that, you know, traditional ratemaking focuses on a test year. This is a way for the Company to earn some recovery on assets that occur after the test year. And we've agreed to three of them -- two or three of them, depending on some circumstances, in recognition of the arguments made in the testimony about regulatory lag and things like that. And we tailored them specifically on the list for reasons that we discussed in settlement.

But no, Commissioner. Had we discovered an absurd example like that, Staff would not have signed a settlement that included that on the list, you know, hypothetically.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: But I think that gets to the heart of the question, which is what does the list mean in the settlement agreement.

I think probably the best way to proceed is perhaps, Mr. Sheehan, for you to make your objection at the time so that we can make a decision based upon what's actually before us at that moment. And if we need to, we'll take a break and look at the language.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
I understand I'm not being heard particularly well. Is this better or, should I plug in my microphone?

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think it may be that Mr . Dexter is also not on mute, and it's a combination of the two. So if we could just be careful about putting ourselves
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on mute.
And Mr. Wind, if you could just watch that, that would help, and we'll see how that goes.

Okay. So on the Motion for Confidential Treatment, any objection from the other parties on that?

MR. DEXTER: No objection.
I just wanted to offer, on the microphone, I've done a number of these hearings with Mr. Sheehan over the last few weeks, and this is the first time I think I see him with the earbud setup, and this is the first time I'm not able to hear him. So I just throw that out there for what it's worth.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think he did use them last week, and I don't recall having trouble. But we'll play it out, see how it goes and see if it fixes the problem.

Okay. So no objections on the Motion for Confidential Treatment. We will not rule on that now, take it under advisement, and treat everything that's
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[WITNESSES: HEATHER TEBBETTS, ANTHONY STRABONE]
identified as confidential today.
So let's go ahead and get the witnesses sworn in, please, Ms. Robidas. (WHEREUPON, HEATHER M. TEBBETTS AND ANTHONY STRABONE were duly sworn and cautioned by the Court Reporter.)

HEATHER M. TEBBETTS, SWORN
ANTHONY STRABONE, SWORN
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr. Sheehan.

MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SHEEHAN :
Q. Ms. Tebbetts, would please introduce yourself. We spoke a week or so ago, but state your position with the Company and your role in this step adjustment filing.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. My name is Heather Tebbetts. And I'm the manager of Rates and Regulatory Affairs at Liberty Utilities Service Company, and I'm responsible for rate-related dockets for Granite State Electric.
Q. And what was your involvement with regard to this step adjustment?
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A. (Tebbetts) I prepared the testimony and reviewed all the projects. And I also participated in the rate case for this docket and settlement agreement discussions.
Q. And the testimony you prepared is the document that we've marked as Exhibit 41; is that correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And do you have any changes to the testimony, the portion of which you were responsible for?
A. (Tebbetts) I do not.
Q. And do you adopt that testimony this morning as your sworn testimony?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Mr. Strabone, same question. Please introduce yourself, your title and your role in this filing.
A. (Strabone) Good morning. My name's Anthony Strabone. I'm the manager of Electric Engineering for Liberty Utilities Service Corp. I am responsible for securing engineering and construction resources for our capital work for Granite State Electric.
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Q. And Mr. Strabone, you also participated in the testimony and the attachments that we've marked as Exhibit 41 today.
A. (Strabone) That's correct. Yes, I have.
Q. And do you have any changes you'd like to bring to the Commission's attention this morning?
A. (Strabone) I do not.
Q. And do you adopt the written testimony as your sworn testimony here this morning?
A. (Strabone) Yes, I do.
Q. Either Heather or Anthony, as appropriate, you've just heard the conversation with counsel about the list of projects that the Company proposes to include in this hearing. Could you please just give a description of the kinds of documents that are in this filing that support each of those projects. And we have Document $A$ and Document $B$ and $C$, whatever they maybe.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. So the kinds of information that we've provided with regards to this filing is capital expenditure forms, business cases. We've provided change-order forms.
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We've provided the project closeout forms. And also we have provided for the auditor during the audit process multiple years of backup for change-order forms and project closeout forms, capital expenditure forms, multiple calculations for burdens, et cetera, to provide all of the information that the auditor has requested to determine if there are issues with our audit.
Q. As an aside, can you let us know what the status of that audit is, as far as you know?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. On Friday I provided the final information requested to the auditor, and we're just awaiting a first draft.
Q. And in prior step adjustment hearings with Granite State, has it occurred where the audit actually comes out after the step adjustment hearing?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. So, for example, in 2018 we had a step adjustment in Docket 16-383. And we had a step adjustment effective for May 1st, 2018, and that audit report came out in January of 2019.
Q. And should there be any issues arising from
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the audit that require changes to the step adjustment, is it the Company's position that those changes would be carried through to whatever the next appropriate rate adjustment hearing would be?
A. (Tebbetts) So over the past six weeks that I've been working with the auditor, no issues have arisen with regards to these projects that $I$ know of.
Q. But if -- let's assume we finish the hearing today and the Commission approves this filing effective July 1 and the auditor finds something in September that says there's \$10,000 too much included. Would that adjustment be something that would be picked up in a later hearing or not?
A. (Tebbetts) Mr. Sheehan, I'm sorry. I didn't hear the end of your question.
Q. If an audit becomes final after rates are changed, is that something that can be addressed in a later hearing?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. Ms. Tebbetts, based on the list of documents -- or the list of projects before
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the Commission in your testimony, the supporting documents that you've provided, is it your -- is it the Company's position that the selection of these projects was prudent?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And is it the Company's position that the manner in which the Company executed those projects, that timeliness, costs, budgets, documentation, was also prudent?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And can you tell us what -- strike that.

Have you performed a calculation of what the revenue requirement, the specific revenue requirement is, and what the rate impact of that would be if approved as proposed?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes, we did. Let me just grab my filing on paper, please.

So on Bates 31 of the filing, we did prepare the annual revenue requirement of $\$ 1.350$ million. And in there we have a calculation of total revenues. And when you go to Bates 32 , we have divided by rate the impact of those -- of that rate increase. And that goes through Bates 33. And on
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Bates 34 we have a calculation of a residential customer using approximately 650 kilowatt hours a month to show that the rate impact is $\$ 1.50$ a month, or 1.26 percent, if the customer is taking energy service through our default service.
Q. Thank you, Ms. Tebbetts. I have no further questions at this time.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr.
Kreis.
MR. KREIS: Madam Chairwoman, begging your indulgence, $I$ have to confess that the Office of the Consumer Advocate doesn't have the bandwidth to conduct the sort of prudence review that $I$ know Staff is conducting here, and so $I$ have no questions.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
Mr. Dexter.
MR. DEXTER: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. I'd like to just pick up where Ms. Tebbetts left off, which is looking at Exhibit 41, Page 29 -- no, Page 31, and confirm that this
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is the list of projects for which the Company is seeking recovery in this case. And I see 12 of them; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And they total 8.7 million -- $\$ 8.8$ million, roughly; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And turning to the same exhibit, Page 29, where you have your revenue requirements calculation, if you look down towards the bottom of that calculation, Lines 35, 36, 37, we'll see that this step adjustment provides for rate of return and taxes, depreciation, and property taxes; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And it's proposed in this step at 1,350,000.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. So that's what's at issue in this case.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And just turning to the percentage rate increase calculation, which is on Page 31 of the same exhibit, that starts with the 1,350,000 that you're seeking to recover; correct?
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A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And then it divides by Line 2, which says Distribution Revenues Per Settlement Agreement, and has a figure of $\$ 43,919,000$. Where did that figure come from?
A. (Tebbetts) So that figure is a combination, actually, of the revenue requirement in the rate case docket, 19-064, plus the revenue requirement for capital in the Reliability Enhancement Program docket, DE 20-036.
Q. Could you break down those two numbers that sum up to the $43,919,000$, please.
A. (Tebbetts) I actually don't have the breakdown in front of me at this moment between the two.
Q. And that percentage increase then gets spread to all the various rate elements; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And that's what leads to the bill impact of about $\$ 1.50$ that you just referred to a little while earlier; right?
A. (Tebbetts) For customers seeking service under Rate D, yes.
Q. Right, right.
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MR. DEXTER: I would ask if -- and this might be a long hearing -- if at some point during the day, if we could get the backup to those two numbers because we weren't able to verify that $\$ 43$ million number. And I would just like to verify it because it's important. It leads right into the percentage increase. I'd just like to know the two elements that make up the sum. So I guess I'm asking that of the Bench as a record request, or of the Company, if they could provide it at some point during the day.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Sheehan, do you have a response to that?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes, we have a couple people not on the stand, but who are listening in. And we can see if they can track that down for us and -- (connectivity issue)
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
MR. SHEEHAN: -- and provide it during the course of this hearing.

MR. DEXTER: Thank you. I
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[WITNESSES: HEATHER TEBBETTS, ANTHONY STRABONE]
appreciate that.
BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. I have one or two questions on burdens, and I'd like to refer to Exhibit 45, which is the Company's response to Staff $1-3$ in the step adjustment phase.

MR. SHEEHAN: I'm sorry, Paul.
What number?
MR. DEXTER: It's Exhibit 45. I'm looking at Page 2, I believe. Page 2.
A. (Tebbetts) Okay. I'm there.

BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. Thank you. The question had to do with burdens in general. And so in other words, for the question to make sense, could you provide just a brief explanation of what burdens are in connection with the projects that are on the list that we just looked at.
A. (Tebbetts) Sure. So burdens are the overheads that are applied to our labor and also to our storage or materials charges that we have.
Q. And the little chart there in the middle of Page 2 seems to compare the level of burdens
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to capital. Some of those pieces that were in the O\&M spread in 2018, but moved to capital in 2019, was IT software depreciation, EHS non-labor portion -- and EHS is environmental health and safety. We had some non-labor electric operations cost that also went into 2019 from O\&M to capital, from '18 to '19. Dispatch, control and production non-labor cost in 2018 that were O\&M and moved to capital for 2019. And non-labor engineering costs from 2018 that were O\&Ms that were then capital for 2019. So those are part of the changes. We also had higher costs for payroll taxes and back-office labor. So with all those changes, the costs for 2019 were higher, and that's what resulted in the higher burden rate.
Q. Okay. So when you listed the four or five items that you said previously went to O\&M and now they are allocated to capital, were they burdens in both instances? In other words, is O\&M burdened with burdens as well as capital, and it's just a question of where
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those costs went? Or is it more a situation where those costs used to just be expensed and they weren't in the burden pool, and now they've been moved into the burden pool?
A. (Tebbetts) So they were always in the burden pool. They were just moved from O\&M to capital. So when we're looking at our capital costs, which is what I presented in Part B, you're seeing those costs there. They're not new burdens.
Q. Now, in the response here it says, if I can find this... it's in Answer C. It says, "Finance is reviewing actual burden rates each quarter to try to more accurately project burden rates."

Could you explain what that review is and how that might improve the accuracy of burden rate projections?
A. (Tebbetts) Sure. So the process of capital budgeting provides that we start with looking at what our projects are going to be for the following year in late summer or early fall. At that time, these projects are not completed for the previous year. So, for
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example, we're going to start our budgeting process in, we'll call it September of 2020, for 2021. Because the 2020 projects have not been completed, we really don't know what the burden rates are at the end of the year. And so what finance has been starting to do this year is to take a look at the quarterly burden rate and see what's actually happening, so hopefully that will help us budget burden rates more accurately for next year. But they weren't doing that prior to this year. So when you see that in 2018 our burden rate was 29 percent, it would be reasonable to use a 30 percent burden rate for 2019 projects. When you see the results of 56 percent for 2019, clearly that -- you can see, you know, the 30 percent was underbudgeted. So by reviewing these rates quarterly, hopefully when we go into our budgeting season in the fall, we'll be able to look at our quarterly burden rates and more accurately predict what the burdens will be in 2021. Given that $Q 4$ won't be part of that, at least we can see the trends through
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Q1 through Q3.
Q. And in terms of burdens in general, these are basically -- it's overhead? Is that a -that needs to be allocated to various projects? It's stuff that's not charged directly to a project, but it's gone through some sort of an allocation process?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And the key concern for all involved would be that something that gets allocated to a project for capital would then also not be charged somewhere else. I think everyone would agree with that.

Could you explain how the Company insures that costs that are included in this burden pool aren't also then expensed somewhere else?
A. (Tebbetts) So every month there's a total amount of burdens that need to be allocated by project, and there's a formula that's utilized. I want to say we -- I know we provided it during the rate case. And so it's a formula that we utilize. And we actually did just provide the information to
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the auditor, now that $I$ think of it. It wasn't in the data request. It was for the auditor for this piece, to take a look at our burden rates and what is actually being applied to the projects. So, you know, in that bucket of burdens it is allocated to either a capital or an expense job. There's no double-counting, it sounds like you're inferring, of burdens going to both.
Q. Well, I didn't mean to infer that, but that is the question. But I think you bring up a good point. This is an item that is subject to review by the Commission's audit staff; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) That's correct.
Q. And in all the previous questions [sic] you've given today from Mr. Sheehan about audits, you've been referring to the PUC audit staff, not some sort of external auditors; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. So if the Commission audit staff finds some issues with the burdens, it would show up in the report that hasn't been completed
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yet; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. So I think I'll leave it there for now.

My next area of questions has to do with project closeout reports. And you and Mr. Strabone spent a lot of time in your testimony explaining how to go from project closeout reports to actual expenses, to what's being included in the step adjustment in this case. And we took from that, that you do project closeout reports on open projects at the end of every year. Is that a fair summary of your testimony?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.

MR. DEXTER: Okay. So this has always confused Staff because, in our view, it seems to contravene the Company's written capital expenditure policy. And I want to bring that up so we can discuss whether that's -- whether our confusion is justified. So in order to do that, we need to go to Exhibit 21 , which is from the rate case. And it's Mr. Dudley's testimony, and it's Bates
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104. And maybe it's too difficult for everybody to go through the process of pulling it up, but $I$ only want to read one sentence. So I'm happy to just read the sentence and then we can take it from there. And if counsel has any objection to that, I understand. But I'm just -- I understand that everybody might not have immediate access to an exhibit from the main part of the rate case versus the step adjustment.

BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. So I'm looking at Exhibit 21. And the title of the document at the top of the page is "Policy/Procedure: Capital Expenditures Planning and Management." And it happens to be -- it's Bates Page 104, but it's Page 13 of that document. And it's Paragraph 6.4, and it says "Project Closeout Report." And there's two paragraphs. I'll skip the first paragraph.

The second paragraph says, "All capital projects require a formal closeout to be conducted. Multiple year" -- I'm sorry --"Multi-year projects do not require annual
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closeout reports. The report will be prepared by the project manager, in consultation with the functional leads or regional subject matter experts. Closeouts must be signed off by the project sponsor and are due within 90 days of the project completion date."

So our question is very simple. If the capital expenditure policy says projects do not require annual closeout reports, why does the Company -- why did the Company produce them for the projects that are at issue in this step adjustment?
A. (Tebbetts) So while it's not required, we have been filling them out, and it does provide information at the end of the year as to things that went on with the project. And you can see that there are pieces in there, like lessons learned and things like that within the project closeout form that just provides more information. So, again, you're right. It is not required. But we have been filling them out for the purpose of just record retention and recordkeeping, to make
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sure that, you know, next year when we start -- when we continue the project, if it's a multi-year project, we have information about what was going on in the previous year.
Q. Was there any consideration -- because I can understand that, believe me, you know, from Staff's testimony over the past few rate cases, they don't want to be arguing for less scrutiny of capital projects. I think scrutiny of capital projects Staff believes is a very good idea. But is there any thought to calling them something else rather than "closeout reports"? Because a number of times we've fallen into this trap, as you know, of thinking we had the amount of the project and then realizing that that wasn't the amount of the project as closed; it was just one year's worth of that project. Is there any consideration to maybe calling them "progress reports"?
A. (Tebbetts) Well, I will tell you that this form is used Liberty-wide. So we would not be creating our own type of forms here in New
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Hampshire. We try to be consistent across all of our regions. And so, you know, that suggestion certainly could be provided to other regions if we have an opportunity in the future. But for now, we have project closeout forms, and that is part of our document that you mentioned that Mr . Dudley put in his testimony.
Q. Do you know if the other Liberty entities do the project closeout reports every year, or do they wait for the projects to close?
A. (Tebbetts) I do not know if they wait for projects to close or do them every year.
Q. Okay. My next topic has to do with what I'm going to call "pre-capitalization of meters and transformers." And to discuss that, I'd like to turn to Exhibits 27 and 48 -- I'm sorry -- Exhibits 41, 47 and 48. And I'm just going to take a minute to pull those up on my screen.

So starting with 47, Answer D I think has the information that $I$ wanted to ask about. First of all, just in the middle of Paragraph D -- and this is Page 2 of 47 --
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there's a term that $I$ didn't know. It says, "The pre-capitalization installation rate was developed with OPS and is representative of what a typical installation should cost." What's "OPS" mean in this instance?
A. (Tebbetts) Where are you seeing that? I apologize. I don't --
Q. No, this is hard for everybody. No apologies. Exhibit 47, page -- sorry. Now I'm apologizing. Page 2, the long paragraph at the top. I think it's part of a response, you know, Subparagraph D.
A. (Tebbetts) Oh, yeah.
Q. So the first sentence in the second paragraph on that refers to "OPS."
A. (Tebbetts) It just meant operations. I should have probably written "operations."
Q. Okay. Fine. So it's determined by operations.

So could you explain for me and for the Commission what, first with meters and then we'll go to transformers, what does "pre-capitalization" mean?
A. (Tebbetts) Let me grab something I have here
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because I have -- I'm looking for -- I cannot find it. All right. Just give me one moment.
(Pause)
Okay. All right. So the decision to pre-capitalize meters was made back in January of 2018 to ensure that installation was being capitalized properly. The concern was that when meters were replaced and reinstalled, the installation could be easily double-booked for the same asset if the meter was not retired, or in some cases the time to install was not captured at all. So the pre-capitalization rate was developed with operations and is representative of a typical installation cost. So essentially it's kind of what the answer is we had put in here. Again, the purpose was to make sure we weren't double-booking those costs.
So the way that the entry would work today is when we receive the meters, we book them to capital immediately. And that's what this project number is for that's discussed in Exhibit 47. And then when the meter
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person goes out to the field to either replace or install a new meter, those costs for the installation are actually booked to expense, so there's no worry or concern that double-booking that could possibly happen. So by pre-capitalizing these meters, we don't have to worry about that.
Q. Okay. Well, I didn't understand from Exhibit 47 that that's what was happening. In other words, the sentence from the paragraph that $I$ read earlier says, by pre-capitalizing the installation costs, the labor is capitalized at the time the unit is purchased.
A. (Tebbetts) Hmm-hmm.
Q. And any movement with the meter is expensed until it's retired. So I took from that, that the cost of the meter, let's say in this instance $\$ 200$ for the meter itself, would be capitalized upon purchase and that the installation costs, the labor costs, would also be capitalized -- let's call that $\$ 100$-- upon purchase. So the minute the meter was cost -- was purchased, $\$ 300$ would
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
go into capital and thus into rate base. Is that right? So it's the parts and the labor?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Okay. So what was it about the expense that you said? You said something about when the person goes out to do something to the meter, it would be expensed.
A. (Tebbetts) So in a normal capital work order that doesn't have pre-capitalized materials, the way that the work order would operate, as far as charging, would be that we would charge the materials. And then when the linemen go out to install that pole, they would charge their time at that time to the capital work order. Nothing gets charged to expense.

When you pre-capitalize something like the meters, we take that meter person's time in the beginning of the work order to actually charge the work order. But at some point they're going to go out there to replace that meter or install a new meter, and what we don't want is for them to then charge their time again. So they just
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
expense their time instead, whatever that time is.

And so the other thing to make sure we note here, too, is that it's an average time that's charged to the work order. So it could take -- you know, if it's a special kind of meter, et cetera, it could take longer to actually install that meter. That time is not charged to the work order. So, again, the idea is we charge everything to one work order in the beginning to capital, and anything further that's done with those meters now becomes an expense for our operations folks.
Q. Okay. And anything further doesn't mean the initial installation of the meter because then that would be a double-count; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Well, the actual installation of the meter would not be charged to the work order; it's an expense. It's in our O\&M budget. It wouldn't be capitalized. So that's the idea behind this.
Q. But then --
A. (Tebbetts) Nothing's attached to the work
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
order after we've charged the meters and initial labor to it.
Q. What's the labor that gets capitalized in this Paragraph D? It says labor is capitalized at the time it's purchased. That's not the installation labor?
A. (Tebbetts) Oh, I apologize. Yes, that's the installation labor. I misunderstood your question. Yes, that's the installation labor, or a replacement. 'Cause it doesn't mean it may not be a new installation; it could be just be a replacement of a meter. So, yes, either one.
Q. Okay. So the result is, if you weren't pre-capitalizing meters, they would be booked to an inventory account; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And then the labor would get capitalized when a meter was taken out of inventory and put into service. So there'd be sort of a time lag on the labor as compared to the practice of pre-capitalization; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Okay. And my understanding from exhibit, I
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guess it's 48 -- let me just pull that up for a second. I don't think I'm going to have any luck finding 48. Let me ask you. That has to do with transformers; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's a slightly different process for pre-capitalization of transformers versus meters; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) I'm not sure what you mean by "different process." I'm sorry.
Q. Okay. No, that's fine. And I should be more specific, so I'll try to do it without seeing the exhibit.

My understanding of Exhibit 48 was that the cost of the transformers are pre-capitalized, but the labor is not because there wasn't -- you didn't have an ability to come up with a standard transformer
installation rate because it's all
site-specific. Is that --
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Okay. And going back to the list of projects in your testimony, these are not
insubstantial amounts; correct? The meters
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
approached, I believe, almost a million dollars. I'm looking now at Page 30 of your Exhibit 41, the list that we started with. And the second to the last item has these pre-capitalized meters at $\$ 952,000$; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And the transformer purchases are $\$ 514,000$; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. So do you know if pre-capitalization of meters and transformers is common in the industry or has it never been done before or is it standard in other places?
A. (Tebbetts) I do not know.
Q. Do you know if this is something that Liberty's outside auditors have looked at? Because you said it took place in 2018.
A. (Tebbetts) I do not know if our outside auditors have looked at this.
Q. And I believe the PUC auditors have looked at this change; is that right? Is that your understanding?
A. (Tebbetts) They have. And I have actually provided quite a bit of information during
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this process to the PUC auditor for him to review. And we've actually had multiple phone calls with our plant accounting folks as well with him to discuss our pre-capitalization of meters and transformers.
Q. Okay. Well, I think, then, like the burden issue, I'll leave this pre-capitalization for now and trust that the PUC auditors will be looking into this accounting change or accounting method.

So my next area of inquiry has to do with the customer walk-up payment centers. And I'm going to need to look at Exhibits 46 and then 60, 61 and 62. So I'm going to take a minute to pull those up.
A. (Tebbetts) Mr. Dexter, I apologize to ask you the question, but could you also let me know which data response numbers those are, please?
Q. Sure.
A. (Tebbetts) I apologize. I didn't write down on my pages the exhibit numbers on everything.
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Q. Sure. I understand. Yeah, so 46, Exhibit 46, is Staff 1-6.
A. (Tebbetts) Okay. Thank you.
Q. And the other three are the set that was just issued just before the hearing,

Responses 3-1, 3-2 and 3-3.
A. (Tebbetts) Thank you.
Q. And again, I always like to go back to the list, but I've minimized it now. But my recollection is that this project is on the list about halfway down the list. It's called Salem Walk-In Center, and it cost about $\$ 570,000$. Does that sound right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Okay. So that's what we're talking about.

So could you provide a little bit of background about the actual project itself, what it was intended -- what it did and what it was intended to do?
A. (Tebbetts) Sure. So the idea behind relocating our walk-in center from 9 Lowell Road in Salem to 130 Main Street in Salem was to accommodate the fact that we have employees, more employees working in the
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Salem office at 9 Lowell Road, and also to accommodate the issue of vehicles for customers and our large trucks and other folks who were working here at the work center.

So the idea was that we would find a new space to accommodate the walk-in center, also close by to the folks who are going to be utilizing it in the Salem area, and renovating the Salem office at 9 Lowell Road to again accommodate the other folks who are now working in that office.
Q. And this took place during 2019; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. All right. I'm trying to pull up Exhibit 60, and I'm having troubles. But that's what I wanted to ask you about next. Well, I don't have it in front of me. But my recollection is Exhibit 60 is where Staff asked if the Company had done any sort of a cost benefit analysis associated with the decision. And the answer essentially was, no, they didn't because it was done on non-cost considerations. Is that a fair summary of
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Exhibit 60? It's the last paragraph of Exhibit 60, I believe.
A. (Tebbetts) And Exhibit 60 is Staff 3-1; is that correct?
Q. Oh, yes, 3-1.
A. (Tebbetts) I'm going to mark my page so that I don't forgot that. Thank you.

Yes. So in Part D, the question asked us if we did analyses or studies when we were looking to relocate. And as provided in Staff 1-6, Part $A$, we noted there were no analyses done. We relocated it due to what $I$ mentioned earlier, which is employee and customer safety. As noted in there, the setup of the driveway here -- and I'm at the Salem office now -- is not conducive to having been people coming in and out. We only have one way in. And because it's only one way in, it actually crosses the path of where all of our large line trucks come through the driveway. So in order for customers to actually get around to the other side of the building where the walk-in center was, they would have to cross the path where
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the gate is. And there's a lot of traffic in and out all day. We have deliveries here for materials. We have linemen coming in and out. And so there is certainly a safety issue there for customers. And again, it's one way in. There's two ways out but one way in. So that was definitely one of the reasons why we had to look to move our location.

The other issue, again, as I mentioned, and we had described here, we had actually relocated electrical engineering from Londonderry to Salem because we had hired more employees in the Londonderry office. And since then, we actually created a new group, the Operation and Resource Planning Group, to help with operations and electrical and -- actually, engineering gas and electric engineering. So we have more employees here as well.

And so again, with the line trucks coming in and out, the customers coming in and out, all the employees that work at this building, which is between 40 and 50 of them,
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there's just a lot of safety issues and concerns that could be alleviated, at least on the customer side, because certainly we need to ensure our customer safety, as well as employee safety here.
Q. So $I$ wanted to ask about the safety issue a little bit, and I guess the space issue also. You mentioned the one way in and two ways out. So I take it from that, that there are three street accesses to the -- I've never been to this building or facility. But there are three street accesses?
A. (Tebbetts) No, there's two. So one of them is an exit only. You do not enter from -when you look at -- when you're on Bridge Street, Route 38, you cannot enter into our driveway that way; it's an exit only. And then we have on Lowell Road is actually two ways in and out. So that would be sort of a driveway in and out. It's just like I said, we have two exits, one entrance. That one entrance also serves as an exit.
Q. Okay. Did the Company consider, did they do any sort of a review of reconfiguring this
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facility to somehow reroute, separate the customer traffic from the operations traffic?
A. (Tebbetts) I don't know if we actually did an analysis to take a look at that. But I can tell you from my experience working in this building, because this is my new home with things that are going on with the pandemic, that rerouting the traffic would -- quite honestly, I'm not sure how that would happen. There's parking lots all around us. And then, again, we have the gates for all our materials and our trucks. So there's not much space other than that one road that I mentioned that comes in. And our walk-in center is on the other side of the building. So unless you completely redid the walk-in center and put it on a different part of the building, you're still going to have the traffic issue because the majority of the building is behind the gate. So there's just a small section of the building that's actually outside of the gate.
Q. Did the customers using the walk-up center have to go through the gate that you're
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talking about?
A. (Tebbetts) No, they just drove past the gate.
Q. Were there any instances of accidents or near misses or anything like that that you know of at this building that gave rise to the safety concern, or is it more preventive?
A. (Tebbetts) I do not know of any near-misses or accidents. I just don't know of them, so I couldn't respond as to whether or not there have been any.
Q. Okay. So there were none mentioned in the testimony, so that's why I asked if there were more specifics that we should know about.

Do you know how many parking spaces at Lowell Road are used by the walk-up center, roughly?
A. (Tebbetts) At Lowell Road?
Q. Yeah. In other words, before the relocation, when you had the customer walk-up, I assume that once they got into the parking lot and got past all the equipment, that there was some area in the parking lot that they used that were marked, you know, customer spaces
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or something like that.
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah, I want to say there might have been four.
Q. And how many parking spaces are there in total at Lowell Road?
A. (Tebbetts) Well, there probably were maybe 25 to 30 at the most. But we had renovated and added a few parking spots. So we may have 40 to 50 now, so essentially to accommodate the employees that are all here.
Q. When was the renovation done?
A. (Tebbetts) Same time, 2019. That was all part of --
Q. All part of moving --
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah.
Q. And again, at the time the decision was made, the project cost was projected to be $\$ 300,000$. And essentially all of that was outside contractor costs; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) That is correct. And I will note that we didn't note -- I didn't note in my testimony that actually in 2019, August 2019, we increased that budget to $\$ 500,000$. So when you look at the project closeout form,
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the 567,000, I did not note in my testimony that the project was increased. And the reasons for the increase were provided in the testimony.
Q. Yeah, we're going to get to that in a minute. But I just wanted to confirm that at the time the decision was made, it was budgeted at $\$ 300,000 ;$ correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Now, that doesn't include the lease; correct? The new building on Main Street is leased; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) That's correct. Those costs are for the renovations for the two locations, not just for Salem. It's for 9 Lowell Road and 130 Main Street.
Q. Wait. The 300,000 was for the renovations of both places?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And the lease, as $I$ understand it, is $\$ 4,000$ a month; correct? Roughly.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Now, the Company has a similar walk-up center in its Londonderry office; is that right?
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A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And how does that work? Are there again a dedicated entrance or dedicated parking spaces? Could you describe how that works force us?
A. (Tebbetts) Sure. So there's a dedicated entrance to our walk-in center in Londonderry. And there are also dedicated spaces. There may be four spaces as well in Londonderry, approximately.
Q. Okay. And in Londonderry you don't have the issue with the large trucks and equipment; correct? That's just an office facility?
A. (Tebbetts) That's correct.
Q. And how about down at the new facility in Salem? How many parking spaces are there now available for customers?
A. (Tebbetts) It's an open parking lot, and there are other businesses there. So as far as in front of building, I'd say there's probably four parking spots. But again, it's a large parking lot with other businesses in there. It's like a strip mall. So if my recollection is correct from one time when $I$
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visited, maybe 30,40 spots.
Q. Okay. So it's more of a strip mall. I understand what you're saying.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Do you know at the time that the Company decided to relocate the walk-in center down to Main Street, at any point did they consider consolidating the walk-in operations up in Londonderry and only have one of them in your southern area?
A. (Tebbetts) No. And the reason for that is you have to understand that our walk-in center employees are not just waiting for customers to come through the door. They actually are full customer service representatives answering phone calls, just as if they were working in a call center without customers walking through the door. And so we wouldn't be able to move those folks into the Londonderry office because we had moved our engineering folks out of the office due to the fact that we didn't have enough space for them. So trying to consolidate two locations to one would also
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not be feasible simply because that's why we had to move people out originally from the Londonderry office to Salem.
Q. So people went from Londonderry to Lowell Road in Salem, and that's engineering, another new group, to free up space. And then in order for that to happen, people went from Lowell Road to Main Street; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. We moved the customer service representatives that were in the Salem 9 Lowell Road office to the 130 Main Street office to accommodate.
Q. And my recollection from the testimony is that there are three or four customer service reps now down on Main Street. Is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) I'd have to look at my response, but that does sound about correct.
Q. It might be one of those exhibits, 61 or 62 . And I think it actually says two reps and one supervisor.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes, I believe you're correct.
Q. Okay. How many reps, customer service reps, are up in Londonderry?
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A. (Tebbetts) I don't know that answer off the top of my head. But I would say we can find that out. But a lot.
Q. Yeah, I mean --
A. We have a whole section --
Q. Is it more than 20 or more than 30 ? I'm sorry. I'm talking over you.
A. (Tebbetts) We have a whole section of the building dedicated to our customer service folks. Definitely I'd say it's more than 30.
Q. Okay. A substantial number.
A. (Tebbetts) A substantial number, yes. And like I said, they have a whole section of the building.
Q. Okay. And it was determined that there wasn't -- was it determined that there wasn't room to consolidate Londonderry, or was that just not something that you looked at, to add these extra three or four reps up in Londonderry?
A. (Tebbetts) I don't know if it wasn't determined. But as I mentioned, there would be no space for them to be located at all. There's no space in Londonderry to add these
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reps.
Q. Okay. And one of the responses said that there's a similar move planned in the Lebanon area, but it's not at issue in this case.

Correct?
A. (Tebbetts) I'd have to review which response you're looking at, that there's a move.
Q. I think it's Exhibit 41. And I'm going to try to access that myself.
A. (Tebbetts) That's the testimony; correct?
Q. Oh, I'm sorry. That's not what I'm looking for then.
A. (Tebbetts) Oh, yes. I do see here, Staff 1-6, Part B, we are encountering the same issues as the operations office. The Company will be looking to relocate this center in the future. Yes. So, again, we are finding the same kinds of issues right where our customers would park. And again, you have large, very large vehicles, and deliveries of materials, such as spools of wire, going right through the same, exact area right off of Miracle Mile in Lebanon where our customers are coming in and out of
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the driveway. And that actually only has one entrance in and out. So again, we're finding the same kinds of issues.
Q. And when is that project slated for? Do you know?
A. (Tebbetts) I don't know.
Q. Okay. Fine. It's not at issue today, so we'll move on.

So I did want to go to Exhibit 41, which is your testimony, and I want to look at Pages 57 and 58, which are the business case.
A. (Tebbetts) I'm just pulling it up. Okay. I'm there.
Q. All right. You beat me.

So the business case calls for $\$ 300,000$, and it's basically all contractor costs. But I don't think I saw any mention there that the renovations covered anything at Lowell Road. I thought that was all just Main Street. So could you review that and correct me if I'm wrong on that.
A. (Tebbetts) Sure. So if you look at the Project Scope Statement, it just notes here that we're moving the walk-in center from 9
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Lowell Road to a new central location to better support customers --
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. (Tebbetts) -- and open up office space in the Salem office for growth.

So one of the things that we did as part of the Salem office, which just didn't look like it was put in here, was part of that was renovating the Salem office. So we opened up everything where the customer walk-in center was to provide space for desks for the employees that are moved here.

MR. DEXTER: Madam Chairwoman, I really need to be able to pull this exhibit up, and for some reason I'm not able to do that. Could I take a five-minute recess?

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes, we'll take a recess until 11:35.

MR. DEXTER: Thank you.
(Brief recess was taken at 11:29 a.m., and the hearing resumed at 11:40 a.m.) CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Back on the record.

Mr. Sheehan, do you have additional
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information to share?
MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. During Staff's questioning, he asked on the original step filing, Bates 31 , there's a reference to $\$ 43,919,000$, which was a combination of the settlement agreement figure in 19-064 and the REP figure in 20-036. The derivation of those numbers is as follows: The REP number is $\$ 210,503$, which comes from the order in that docket; the remaining number of $\$ 43,708,000$ is Bates 37 of the settlement agreement, Line 11.

MR. DEXTER: That was Bates
Page 37?
MR. SHEEHAN: Correct.
MR. DEXTER: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
Mr. Dexter.
MR. DEXTER: Okay. Thank you for that break. I was able to pull up Exhibit 41, which is the Company's testimony and attachments. And I wanted to continue exploring the decision of the move of the walk-in center to Main Street in Salem, and I
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wanted to look at first -- well, we already covered the business case.

So I want to look at the project -I'm sorry -- the change-order report which appears at Page 64 of this exhibit. I'd like to ask the witness just to summarize the changes that were covered in the change-order form -- change-order report.
A. (Tebbetts) Sure. So under the Overview section, which is the top part of the change-order form, we described that the increased budget is required due to the need to accommodate space for conference rooms, conference room furniture and audio/video needs at the walk-in center location. So essentially we had the walls open, and we had the space to provide a conference removal. And, you know, it doesn't make sense to need a conference room later and have to reopen the walls. So at the time the decision was made, it was open, let's do it now.
Q. Okay. And that was costed out at -- the change-order amount was for $\$ 268,000$; right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
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Q. And that's on -- every time I scroll, I lose the page numbers. I think it's 64 of the change-order report -- Page 64 of your testimony, which is the -- it is Page 64 of your testimony, which is the change-order report; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Okay. I have some further questions on that, but $I$ actually left off something $I$ wanted to ask first, because up until today I did not understand that any of this project involved work done at Lowell Road. I thought it was all done at Main Street.

And so $I$ would ask, if you know, of the total project that ended up -- the total project costs that ended up at 568,000, how much of that was spent at Lowell Road?
A. (Tebbetts) I don't know how much of that was spent at Lowell Road. There was work done here, as I mentioned. And part of that work was opening up the walls to accommodate people sitting at desks. It's a little embarrassing, but $I$ will tell you that we actually had people sharing desks in our
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Lowell Road office. We had some people sitting at tables who did not have a desk in our Lowell Road office. So we've fixed the office now so everybody here has a desk.
Q. Excellent. But do you have an idea, of the 567,000, was it a significant portion, do you think? Was it 10 percent, 20 percent? Any idea?
A. (Tebbetts) I don't know, actually.
Q. Okay. All right.

Now going back to this chart on Page 64 which talks about the closeout -- the change-order report. It shows that the original project cost was budgeted at $\$ 300,000$, and the change-order amount was 268,000. And I'm rounding to the nearest thousand just to make things go faster. And originally, virtually all of the project was for contractor, 295,000 was for contractor, which is, you know, over 95 percent of the project. But after the change order, it looks like 363,000 out of the total 567,000 is contractor. And there was a significant amount added for burdens and overheads,
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\$180,000. Am I reading this right?
A. (Tebbetts) That's correct.
Q. So what's in the $\$ 180,000$ of burden/overhead?
A. (Tebbetts) The overhead charged to contractors, as for all capital projects, are included in there.
Q. So this is the same burdens we were talking about earlier today. In other words, it's Liberty expenses that get added to capital projects.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. That's correct.
Q. Okay. It's not overheads of the contractor.
A. (Tebbetts) No.
Q. Okay. So I would conclude from this that the original budget of $\$ 300,000$ just simply ignored burdens and overheads. Is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) No, I would not characterize it that way at all. When $I$ look at the business case, it looks like -- let me just look at it real quickly. When $I$ look at the business case, and you look at the section that says Financial Assessment/Cost Estimates --
Q. What page, please?
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A. (Tebbetts) That's Bates Page 57. If you look at Bates 57 and you look under Financial Assessment/Cost Estimates, then flip the page over to Bates Page 58, you can see there's a box there that says Materials, Equipment, Contractor, AFUDC, Total Project Costs. There's no box there to calculate burdens outside of that separately. So when we're looking again at how much our costs are for projects, burdens would have been included in that $\$ 295,000$ contractor box. It's not separately broken out in our business case. So I wouldn't say they were ignored. I would say that they were included in that $\$ 295,000$.
Q. Okay. So there's nothing on the form here -again, $I$ don't want to keep jumping back from page to page. There's nothing on the form here for burdens you're saying.
A. (Tebbetts) There's nothing on the form. What we do is take a look at the total cost and add in, such as we've answered in other discovery requests, like the 30 percent on top of the contractor cost, for example, and that would go on that
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Contractor/Subcontractor line.
Q. Okay. So then let's go back down to the change-order report, which was Page 64, and now there's a line for burdens. So to adequately understand this project, I think what you're saying is that the 295,000 original contractor/subcontractor would probably be more accurately depicted if it said $\$ 200,000$ for the contractor and 95,000 for the burdens, just using that rough 30 percent rate.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes, I agree.
Q. Okay. And then we'd have to do the same with the $\$ 267,000$ figure? So, again, roughly 190,000 went to the contractor and 77,000 went to burdens?
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah, that would be a rough estimate.
Q. Okay. All right. So the contractor cost essentially doubled within a few percentage points.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And the only thing that $I$ saw listed as a reason was the conference room. No, that's
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actually not true. If we go to Page 69, I saw three reasons. Page 69 is the Project Closeout Report.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes, I'm there.
Q. You're doing better than $I$ am.

So in the middle of that, Reason For Variance, I see three reasons. And it says the conference room, which added 35,000 , then we had 30,000 for changes forced by the town building inspector, and then we have 27,000 for burdens. And I think we just established that burdens actually went up like almost 100,000 -- or went up about 75,000. So I'm very confused by this whole situation, because if you add up these three numbers, you only get about 100,000, and the reason for the change was 267,000. So --
A. (Tebbetts) When you look at Bates Page 66, which is the first page of our Project Closeout Form, you can see that it says Requested Capital. In the first section there's a box, Requested Capital, that says $\$ 500,000$.
Q. Yes.
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A. (Tebbetts) So we increased the budget for this project to $\$ 500,000$ in August of 2019. We shifted over some funds from other projects that had -- that were trending at lower costs. And we needed more for this, as some of the scope changed. And so because the increasing requested capital was up to 500,000, you look at the change-order form from the original budget, that was 300,000 ; so the difference there is the 267,000 . But when you look at the project closeout form, you'll see that on Page 69, Bates Page 69, the reason for variance due to the increased costs is $\$ 67,000$ provided here -- oh, wait a minute. Hold on. Yeah. So there's the -well, that actually doesn't... so you can see the difference here, so $I$ think that's why it looks confusing. Because you're right, the $\$ 267,000$ in the beginning number doesn't add up to what's on the final project closeout form. But again, that's because of the changes.
Q. I understand. I think what I'm understanding you're saying is the closeout report is
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trying to explain the variance between the already increased number and the final cost --
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. -- 500,000 versus 567,000 .
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. All right. So let's put that aside. So now that clears up my confusion. Thank you.

But it seems to me the bottom line here for the extra $\$ 267,000$, it seems that the improvement to the project was basically one conference room in Main Street and a handicapped-accessible bathroom, if I understand the invoices correctly. Is that it? If there's anything else, please tell us. But that's all I've been able to gather.
A. (Tebbetts) Give me one moment, please, because we did provide that in Staff 1-6. So in Staff 1-6 -- I just need to pull it up. I didn't print out all of the attachments. Okay. It's just going to take a second for it to open. But in Staff $1-6$ we did provide all of the backup information for the change-order forms. It's coming. Okay.
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}

So we had an original project estimate from Fulcrum for $\$ 248,000$. And it breaks down all of the things that had to be done. And let me just take a look and see if it provides for both locations or just one.

Okay. So this one says, on Page 1 of 6, it shows for 130 Main Street in Salem. And I'm just looking through. We provided a lot of information here, so $I$ just want to go through it. I apologize. I'm going through it because I'm not a hundred percent sure exactly. I just want to doublecheck.
(Pause)
So I don't know. Like I had mentioned earlier, I'm not positive about what the costs were associated with 9 Lowell Road. But as I mentioned to you, we opened the walls, we tore down some walls, we opened up where the call center was to accommodate adding desks for employees who did not have desks prior to and were sharing desks or sitting at tables. So why the change-order form and business case doesn't address that, I can't answer. But living through the
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renovation here, $I$ can tell you there was a decent amount of work done at the 9 Lowell Road office.
Q. Was it the same contractor as Main Street?
A. (Tebbetts) I don't know the answer to that either.
Q. Because I looked through a lot of bills -I'm not going to try to do it on the stand here -- and I saw a lot of charges from one contractor. I didn't notice that any of them were at Lowell Road, but maybe I didn't look at them. But I'll move on.

I do remember the conference room and I do remember the handicapped-accessible bathroom and $I$ do remember something being a requirement of the town inspector. I think that might have been the handicapped-accessible bathroom.

I have a couple of questions on the fact that this building is leased. Is it standard accounting practice to capitalize investments in property that a person -- that a company doesn't own?
A. (Tebbetts) We have capitalized investments
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for properties that we don't own.
Q. And what would happen to all these investments if the Company were to move or to -- let me back up.

Do you know how long the lease is?
A. (Tebbetts) I thought we had answered that in here. But I have to... I have to doublecheck this response. But I thought that was asked. I thought we had a question about the lease itself, a question about the dollar amount. (Pause)

I don't know how long the lease is for.
Q. Okay. Do you know what would happen to all the improvements if you were to move out, if the lease was terminated or not renewed or something else?
A. (Tebbetts) What would happen to the improvements, such as, I mean, the furniture and all of that? If we were to move out, we'd be looking for another location and we would take it with us, just as we did for 9

Lowell Road Lowell Road. So all the
furniture at 9 Lowell Road moved to 130 Main
Street in Salem. All of that just went.
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Everything that we had, computers, any furniture, anything that accommodated the customer service representatives all went with them over there. So we would take all that and move it somewhere else.
Q. Right. I was talking more about the building improvements, like the conference room and the handicapped-accessible bathroom. Would it get written off at that point, or what would happen?
A. (Tebbetts) You know, I don't know what our accounting treatment would be for that.
Q. Okay.

MR. DEXTER: Chairwoman Martin, that's all the questions $I$ have on the walk-in center. I'm going to move now into a series of questions concerning five projects that are on the list, all of which have to do with the Golden Rock substation. And I think it might help me a lot if I could close out a bunch of windows on my computer and just open up the exhibits $I$ want to deal with from here on end. So could I request a 15-minute recess to do that?
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CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: YOu can.
Why don't we talk about whether it makes sense to take a lunch break at this point rather than a 15-minute break.

MR. DEXTER: That's fine, too. Yeah, that would be fine with me.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Why don't we take a half-hour lunch break, unless anyone has another idea. That would get us back a little bit after 12:30.

MR. DEXTER: Could I request 45 minutes? 'Cause I'm going to both need to eat and straighten out all these exhibits.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Understood. Yes. Okay. So let's make it 12:45.

MR. DEXTER: Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank you
(Lunch recess taken at 12:03 p.m., and proceedings resumed at 12:56 p.m.)

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I think, Mr. Dexter, you were up.

MR. DEXTER: Yes, thank you. And
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thanks for the break.
So I'd like to pick up with what I've called the Golden Rock Investments. There's five of them on the list which appears at Exhibit 41, Page 30. And I would just like the witnesses to take them one by one and provide a brief description of the projects for me.

So going in project order, this one is called Golden Rock substation, Project No. 1944, skipping the prefixes, and it's roughly $\$ 2$ million. And I would ask the witnesses, please, to explain what the project is and why it was performed.
A. (Strabone) Yup. So Project 1944, Golden Rock substation, this was the addition of two distribution feeders at Golden Rock substation. It was a joint project with National Grid. National Grid owns and operates the 115 kV system inside Golden Rock substation. Liberty Utilities owns and operates the 13 kV that is within Golden Rock. Prior to this project, Golden Rock substation, it was an existing substation on
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the Mass./New Hampshire border. The same -- |
| 2 | it was a shared yard between National Grid |
| 3 | and Liberty Utilities. National Grid did own |
| 4 | the 115 kV . There's also 23 kV that's within |
| 5 | the substation, and that 23 kV is our supply |
| 6 | to three of our substations within Salem, New |
| 7 | Hampshire. |
| 8 | Q. Which of those three? |
| 9 | A. (Strabone) That is Olde Trolley, Barron Ave. |
| 10 | and Salem Depot. |
| 11 | Q. And that 23 kV supply is still in service; |
| 12 | correct? |
| 13 | A. (Strabone) That is correct. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. What was the reason for the two |
| 15 | additional feeders being added? |
| 16 | A. (Strabone) The reason is to deal with our |
| 17 | asset conditions at Barron Ave. substation. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. So the next project is called Golden |
| 19 | Rock Feeder, 19L2, which I guess is also |
| 20 | known as 19L6, Project 1945. Could you |
| 21 | explain what that is. |
| 22 | A. (Strabone) Correct. You are correct. 19L2 |
| 23 | was renamed as the 19L6. It was only a name |
| 24 | change. It was not a change in scope. This |
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | is one of the 13 kV distribution feeders that |
| 2 | we added to Golden Rock substation. And we |
| 3 | built this line to tie into our existing |
| 4 | 13 kV distribution in the Salem area and to |
| 5 | tie into our Barron Ave. substation -- excuse |
| 6 | me -- feeders. |
| 7 | Q. So the first project we just talked about was |
| 8 | work at the substation. And this is work to |
| 9 | connect the substation to an existing line? |
| 10 | Do I have that right? |
| 11 | A. (Strabone) That is correct. This is the |
| 12 | overhead line work that you would find along |
| 13 | the road. |
| 14 | Q. And what was the purpose of this project? |
| 15 | A. (Strabone) As mentioned, this was for tying |
| 16 | into our Barron Ave. substation to address |
| 17 | our asset conditions and concerns that we |
| 18 | have at Barron Ave. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. Let me skip the numerical and jump |
| 20 | over one and go down to Golden Rock Feeder |
| 21 | 19L4, also known as 19L8. Briefly, what was |
| 22 | this project, and why was it done? |
| 23 | A. (Strabone) Same reason as the 19L6. The name |
| 24 | change here was from 19L4 to 19L8. This was |
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also the overhead line portion that you find along the roads and streets to tie into our existing distribution feeders, and that was also installed to address our assets and concerns at Barron Ave. substation.
Q. And what about the Golden Rock underground project, 1960? What's that project, and why was that built?
A. (Strabone) So this is tied to -- this is the portion that really connects your substation work to your overhead distribution work. So this includes the installation of underground conduits, manholes and electrical underground wire. And this is really the point of interaction between your substation and your distribution feeders.
Q. So we've got the substation work, and then we've got some underground lines which lead to overhead lines --
A. (Strabone) That is correct.
Q. -- in these four projects. Okay. That's good.

And then lastly, I skipped over 1958.
It's called "Install Service to Tuscan
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Village South Line." Can you explain what that is?
A. (Strabone) Sure. This is all basically the line extensions that we have to do within the development itself. So as we work with the Tuscan Development Team and they reach out to us and indicate they have secured a new customer and it's going in, you know, a particular spot in the development, we now need to install a manhole and duct system and underground assets in this location to provide service.

So this project was taken on to provide funding for all these service requests that come in what's inside the park. And really, this is more along the lines of it would follow under our policy for UCD policies and tariffs.
Q. What was that policy again, please?
A. Oh, it's Policy 4 for UCD Development, Underground Commercial Development.
Q. And we'll come back to this. But this is roughly a -- pardon me. This is roughly an $\$ 800,000$ project. Could you tell me how many
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services this included.
A. (Strabone) This is developed -- it's divided by individual lots, not actual services. So one lot may actually have -- one of the lots actually has multiple service accounts associated with it. So it may have, you know, 5, 10, 15, 20 actual individual meters. But it's the service line extension to that lot that's in the development.
Q. Okay. So how many line extensions were there, or was it just one?
A. (Strabone) No, it wasn't one. There was multiple. I don't have that readily available in front of me.
Q. So there were multiple lines which would then feed into multiple services in meters and customers.
A. (Strabone) That is correct.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, thanks for that overview. I have some more questions.

So let me go to Exhibit 53, which is Staff Response 2-5.
A. (Strabone) Okay.
Q. Sorry. I have that wrong. Exhibit 53 is not
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Staff 2-5.
(Pause)
So I think for four of these projects, you mentioned that they were going to address asset conditions at the Barron Ave.
substation. Do I have that right?
A. (Strabone) That is correct.
Q. And is the Barron Ave. substation in service now or not in service?
A. (Strabone) It's in service.
Q. Has it been taken out of service in the last year or two?
A. (Strabone) It may have been taken out of service for maintenance in the last year or two, but it's still readily available and on our system for support and service in the area.
Q. Okay. So can you describe the asset conditions that you're addressing through this upgrade to the Golden Rock substation?
A. (Strabone) Yup. So the Barron Ave.
substation was built in the early 1960s. It is a 23 to 13.2 substation. It has three feeders that come out of it. Each feeder is
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fed by its own transformer. The average age of the three transformers is about 41 years old. And the other equipment in there, such as regulators and reclosers, are also within the same time frame. You know, our reclosers are average age of 38 years old. And our regulators are approximately, on average, 30 years old as well. This equipment is -- not only is it nearing the end, if it's not already past its useful life, it's also nearing the end of its useful life in service. Over the years we've had some issues with some of the equipment, you know, with failures that we needed to go and address. We've managed to maintain those pieces of equipment and address the failures. But as these pieces of equipment -- for example, our reclosers, as they age and still remain in service, finding repair parts for these units are becoming more difficult, as they haven't been -- these types of reclosers haven't been manufactured in multiple years, which leads us to the problem of if there is
a failure and we don't have any spare parts
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
in our inventory where we can find these, we're looking not at a repair but now at a replacement.

And unfortunately, due to the substation being as old as it is, the replacement of one of these units would not be a one-for-one replacement, as the equipment is, you know, not physically the same size anymore. You could say it's larger just due to advances in technology and installation size of the units themselves.

So with that, not only would we be looking at an extended outage to repair the unit, we'd also be looking at a significant replacement to replace a failed piece of equipment. The substation being as old as it is, it's not up to current modern standard clearances. So in order to get a new piece of equipment in there, not only would you be looking at, you know, possible foundation work, but you'd also be looking at addressing and mitigating the other clearance issues that you have. So you could potentially be looking at, you know, new structures to
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increase clearances and accommodate new equipment.

In addition to, you know, the reclosers being obsolete and having parts that no longer are available, we have issues with our transformers that, due to their age, they have shown signs of deterioration. You know, we've had issues with parts of it, parts of the transformer, such as bushings, where we need to go and replace them as they no longer meet standard testing requirements and are showing signs of failure.

The units themselves are also showing gassing internally inside the unit. And, you know, you take an oil sample out of the transformer and you send it to a qualified lab where they run analysis on the transformer to find out what's in the oil. And every transformer internally provides -develops gas. It could be acetylene, ethylene, hydrogen. And they all indicate something.

These units themselves are showing signs of overheating of the insulation inside of it
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that's called the cellulose, which really indicates that the transformer is starting to fail internally with the insulation system. And what that really means is that, ultimately, you know, once it starts, it doesn't stop. It doesn't reverse. It continues to degrade internally. And ultimately what it will lead to if not addressed is failure of the unit.
Q. Okay. So I wanted to go into some detail about the conditions that you've summarized. And to do that, Staff reviewed the various reports from the vendors that you use to evaluate the condition of the substation parts at Barron Ave.

MR. DEXTER: And those reports are contained in Exhibit 43. It's a long exhibit. It's 246 pages. So I wanted everyone to take a minute to open that up, the Commissioners, if they choose to. And my first question has to do with Page 1 of that exhibit. So I can pause or $I$ can continue, Chairwoman, whatever you think.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have it open.
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Other Commissioners, are you -- do you need a moment? Okay. We'll pause for a moment.
(Pause in proceedings)
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Dexter,
what page again?
MR. DEXTER: I'm starting on
Page 1.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Looks
like we're ready to proceed.
BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. Okay. So Mr. Strabone, what's the 10L1?
A. (Strabone) The 10L1 is a feeder out of Barron Ave. substation.
Q. And that's what's the subject of this report from United Power Group, Inc.?
A. (Strabone) I must be on the wrong exhibit.

Hold on, please.
(Pause)
All right. Sorry. I'm there now.
Yeah, that is -- you are correct. This is the subject of the inspection from the United Power Group.
Q. Okay. And this is the summary remarks. And

Remark No. 1 says, "Transformer 10L1's
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bushing are showing signs of deterioration. UPG would like to see past data for the transformer. The transformer is over 40 years old. UPG recommends retesting the transformer in one year to see if the condition worsens." This was dated August 27, 2014.

Could you tell the Commission what the Company did in response to this report for this transformer.
A. (Strabone) Yeah. We closely monitor the substation in respect to this report. Our substation folks, in addition to performing -- looking at the substation, they go out and they also have thermal cameras where they can look to see if there's any excessive heating on the bushings. Where they're showing signs of deterioration, that could be when you test the bushing itself, it could show that there's what's called leakage current on the bushing, which would mean that the bushing itself, around on the outside of it on the porcelain, could start to wear. And then instead of all the current going
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through the middle of the bushing, it can actually start coming out through the edge of it. So you look at that to see if there's any deterioration with that, as I said, with a thermal camera. But we closely monitor the transformer. And I believe our substation folks have continued to follow up with testing on the unit.
Q. Okay. So do you know -- so then I think what you said in the last sentence is that you did follow up with the retesting as recommended to see if the condition worsened; is that right?
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. And this was 2014. So there's been several years since then. Could you tell the Commission the state of this transformer now? Has it been repaired or is it still in service or has it been retired? Or what happened?
A. (Strabone) It's still in service. I believe -- I have to look at my notes. Hold on one second, please.
(Pause)
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Sorry, I don't have in my notes if that bushing has been repaired, replaced or not. But overall, the transformer is still on our system.
Q. Okay. And then moving to recommendation or Remark No. 2, Recloser 10L1 and Form 3A controller test results are acceptable for service. So was any action taken then as a result of this recommendation?
A. (Strabone) No.
Q. And for Voltage Regulator 10L1, that also concludes acceptable for service. So no action required on that -- on those regulators; is that right?
A. (Strabone) There was none as part of this. But we noticed that the regulators are nearing their end of life, considering the contact wear, which is an internal part of the regulator that are only -- they're basically guaranteed for, you know, so many operations. And we're nearing the end of that.

So the concern would be that as these continue to provide service to our system and
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continue to operate to maintain the correct voltage on our system, that these contacts wear through enough, what happens is it doesn't make a solid connection internally and can lead to an internal failure. So although at that time in 2014 it was recommended that -- the testing of the unit was acceptable, they did not perform an internal inspection to look at the contact wear in 2014.
Q. Well, then how would they conclude it was acceptable?
A. (Strabone) You put what's called a power factor test on it. You just test the electrical integrity of the bushing. You can take an oil sample if needed, but it doesn't appear that they did on this one.
Q. But it doesn't appear what?
A. (Strabone) It does not appear that they took an oil sample for this because it's not in the remarks.
Q. Okay. And the fourth item is the perimeter fencing. UPG recommends that additional grounds to the fence be added. Was that
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
taken care of?
A. (Strabone) I do not know. I'd have to follow up with our substation folks to confirm that.
Q. Okay. So the next similar report, I want to jump down to Page 16. And if you scroll through, you see there's a bunch of charts and stuff which we can come back to. But I want to go down to Page 16 next. And 16 is a similar-looking report from United Power Group, Inc., dated September 5th, 2014. This one's also dealing with the Barron Ave. substation, but this time everything that's looked at is labeled 10 L 2 instead of 10 L 1. Is that a different circuit? Is that what that means?
A. (Strabone) That is correct.
Q. Okay. So again, the transformer tested acceptable for service. Would you agree that's what the report found?
A. (Strabone) That's correct.
Q. So no follow-up work was recommended at that time.
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. And the recloser -- sorry. Are a breaker and
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a recloser the same thing?
A. (Strabone) They are different pieces of equipment, but essentially they provide the same as feeder protection. So in this instance, yes, you can use them interchangeably for the purposes of --
Q. Okay. 'Cause it looks like the report says, up above, it refers to it as a recloser, and in the remarks it refers to it as a breaker. It said it wouldn't close initially.

Maintenance was performed, and then it was able to close, and that UPG recommends replacing the 20 amp control circuit breaker. If the issue continues, further investigation should be performed.

So were parts replaced as a result of this?
A. (Strabone) No. They've been monitoring the 20 amp control circuit breaker. They have not replaced it.
Q. Okay. So nothing -- no action then. And same with the service regulator -- voltage regulators, which were deemed acceptable for service.
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So I can conclude, then, that there was no action taken as a result of this report; is that right?
A. (Strabone) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Consistent with the report's findings.
A. (Strabone) Right.
Q. So if we scroll down to Page 48 , we get to the 10L3 circuit, $I$ believe. Let me just do -- I'm sorry. When we get to Page 48, we're back -- we're on the 10L4 transformer. Is there a 10L3 transformer?
A. (Strabone) There is not a 10L3.
Q. Okay. So we're not missing a report. It just doesn't exist.
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. Okay. So now I'm on page -- actually, I'm on Page 32. So that's the one we just did, isn't it? No. We haven't done this one yet.

Okay. So I'm on Page 32. This is the 10L4 transformer. And this one does talk about the oil that you mentioned. I don't know if it's the same oil that you're talking about.

But as far as the transformer goes, and
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the bushings that are showing signs of deterioration, what action was taken as a result of this report on Page 32?
A. (Strabone) None that I'm aware of.
Q. And this transformer is still in service?
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. And the reclosers were found acceptable for service and the voltage regulators were found acceptable for service; is that right?
A. (Strabone) For the tests that they performed, that's correct. But the recloser itself is 60 years old, so it's no longer supported. In addition, this goes back to where I started. A couple times I mentioned where if we were to have a failure on this recloser, we would not be able to address it due to the fact that it's no longer manufactured. And finding replacement parts, we know from other utilities in the industry, are hard to find. And the same comment for the voltage regulators on the 10L4. Once again, it's nearing its useful life with regards to contact wear.
Q. So if something were to happen with the
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recloser today, what would the Company do?
A. (Strabone) We would have to off-load the 10 L 4 onto other circuits in our system, and then we would have to take a major undertaking to get a recloser into the substation and get that in service. Now, that would probably require a new control cable, a new foundation, possibly new structural aluminum to accommodate that recloser. As mentioned, just over the years, the physical makeup of these types of equipment has changed, and there is no direct one-for-one replacement anymore. So to accommodate the larger recloser and to make sure that we meet all NESC clearances, we would have to go in and re-work some of the structures in there to accommodate the new recloser.
Q. Would that have been the same answer if this had -- so this report came out in 2014. If it had failed in 2014, would that have been the same answer? Would that have been the same actions you would have taken?
A. Going back to 2014, that possibly would have been the same. But $I$ don't know what the
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availability of parts were. I would suspect that it's the same as it is today, that they're very hard to find. And we probably would have gone with the undertaking of replacing it with a new piece of equipment, not just -- with a new piece equipment meeting our clearances and addressing our other concerns that we have with that particular piece of equipment.
Q. And what would -- I know it's approximate.

But what would an approximate cost of a recloser replacement be, you know, with a part that's modern and you're able to repair and gets part for and all that? In other words, if you just didn't wait for the outage to happen and proactively wanted to change out this 1014 recloser, approximately what would that have cost?
A. (Strabone) I don't really run the numbers. And it's all dependent of where you're looking and what you have to do. But it could well be over $\$ 100,000$ to replace it. And that's just that one piece of equipment.
Q. Right. So let's jump down to Page 48. And
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here we have a different-looking report from a different vendor. Is it pronounced Dobie or Dobbie or Doble?
A. Doble.
Q. Doble. Okay. And this is two years later. This is 2016. Can you explain what this report is showing? This is on the 10L1 transformer.
A. (Strabone) Yup. So this is a Doble test, where if you -- if you're on that page and you look at the bushing nameplate, you can see the type of bushings that are listed there. And they did a power factor test on the transformer which -- excuse me -- on the bushing, which really just tells us if there's any deterioration in the bushing.
Q. And what's the conclusion?
A. (Strabone) I'm just scrolling through.
(Pause)
Ultimately, you can see in the section right below where it says Overall Test, it was within acceptable limits of those tests, for what they performed.
Q. Yeah, I see "good" listed on Lines 2 -- I
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don't know. Then the next page it's Lines H1, H2 and H3. I'm not quite sure what that means. But is that what the "good" indicates, that the results were acceptable?
A. (Strabone) Yes, for this test.
Q. Right, for this test.

Now, and again, I think we've already talked about this transformer. But this transformer is still in service; correct?
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. So if $I$ jump down to Pages 51,52 , and 53 , we have another report from United Power Group on the Barron Ave. substation. In this one they say they were hired to, quote, troubleshoot the capacitor bank at Barron Ave.
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. So could you explain what that means, to "troubleshoot a capacitor bank"?
A. (Strabone) Sure. We have capacitor banks inside of our substations which help maintain proper voltage during high load days. So think of a hot summer day like today or the past ones we had. These capacitor banks
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would be utilized to also help support voltage stability within the area.

So this capacitor bank relay was found to be tripped and locked out, meaning that it had activated and could not be reset. They discovered that multiple capacitors were bad. So that means they had -- they failed and were no longer to be used for service. Looks like they were able to get five out of the eight that failed back in service. So they must have -- it seems like they replaced those. I would say they had issues also with the relay during testing and that the relay should replaced. Oh, excuse me. And they recommend replacing the relay and adjusting the relay pickup settings.

So really what that says is that they recommend that the relay should be replaced and that the settings of the relay itself should be modified to accommodate for the eight capacitors that were -- excuse me -for the five capacitors that were placed back in service, not the eight.
Q. Okay. So I'm having a little hard time
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following you. So when you say the five capacitors were placed back into service, were they replaced with new parts, or were they sort of maintained and fixed on the spot?
A. (Strabone) I don't know. I wasn't out there. I assume that -- most likely when a capacitor bank -- I mean when a capacitor fails, basically it's an internal fault that can't be repaired. So with that, it would have to be a full replacement for these five.
Q. Okay. And the relay was replaced I think is what you said. Is that right?
A. (Strabone) It was recommended that it gets replaced.
Q. So it sounds like, then, the Company did take action as a result of this report.

Can you tell the Commission what the cost of that action was that was taken as a result of this report?
A. (Strabone) I don't know what the cost of that was. That would have been considered all maintenance, and I do not have that information in front of me.
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Q. Even the replacements would be maintenance?
A. (Strabone) Correct. It's capitalized as one whole unit. So if there's eight units, you replace all eight for it to be capitalized. But only five were, so that would be considered a maintenance item.
Q. But you don't have any idea of a range of cost?
A. (Strabone) I do not. I don't have that information in front of me.
Q. Okay. And is this capacitor bank now, as repaired or replaced, still in service?
A. (Strabone) It is not in service.
Q. It's not in service. So what happened to it?
A. (Strabone) I don't know. I spoke with our substation supervisor. He said it was not in service. We were having issues again with the relay.
Q. So how is the substation operating without it?
A. (Strabone) You can still operate without it. It's just you rely more heavily on the regulators to perform voltage regulation. And if it gets too hot, you would have low
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voltage concerns, which means then you may need to go and perform switching on distribution feeders to address that.
Q. Okay. Now, if we advance down to Page 60 -oh, before that, $I$ just have one more question on this report before we leave it. When I go to Bates Page 52 , it says it's a Contact Resistance Report. On the side there's one, two, three, four, five, six, seven or eight conclusions that say "OK." "Remarks: OK." What does that mean?
A. (Strabone) That was just the testing on probably one of the cap banks that they utilized to put back in service --
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. (Strabone) That was just a capacitor test on the unit itself. Before you put it in service, you do these tests to make sure that they are within parameters. And then as long as they're okay and it's tested, it's acceptable for service.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Robidas, did you get all of that?

COURT REPORTER: I did when he
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repeated it.
BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. So I'm -- that means they were okay after they were replaced?
A. (Strabone) Before you put any new piece of equipment in, you're going to test it to make sure that it is acceptable because you can't see internally into the unit itself. So before you take something off the shelf and put it in, you're going to do an inspection test on the unit. So these units, they tested them to make sure they were acceptable. And those are probably the ones, you know, that made up the five that went back into service.
Q. Five out of eight were not replaced then. I'm sorry. I'm having troubles with this.
A. (Strabone) According to the test on the previous page you mentioned -- and I'm just going to go back to it, which I believe is Bates Page 51 -- it says, "After testing was performed, five capacitors per phase were placed back into service."
Q. Right. Three of them then were replaced with \{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
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new ones; is that right?
A. (Strabone) I guess I'm missing where you're getting the three from.
Q. It says there's eight capacitors per phase. After testing was performed, five capacitors per phase were placed back into service. So I guess I'm asking, is it correct to assume that three were replaced?
A. (Strabone) Those other three were not replaced, and most likely that's due to what we had in stock. And that's where the comment then goes, "The relay was act" -"UPG recommends replacing the relay and adjusting the relay pickup setting for five capacitors per phase." So you started with eight. We had multiple failures. We were able to replace five per phase.
Q. Okay.
A. (Strabone) And the others were not replaced.
Q. Okay. I understand now. Thank you.

Well, then let's proceed down to
Page 54. And this appears to be the thermal fuse study. And on the left-hand side of this page it says, Hot spots on saddle of
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fuse protection for high side. Recommend taking out of service and cleaning. Was that done?
A. (Strabone) To the best of my knowledge, yes, it was.
Q. And did it take care of the problem? Is a hot spot a problem?
A. (Strabone) A hot spot is a problem, as it indicates that it's exceeding the design parameters of the switch itself, or in this case, the fuse. So what you have here is that it's starting to heat up where that fuse actually sits into the top part of the switch. Now, what could be adding to the heating is increased resistance between the parts. So normally you have a tight connection and the resistance is low. If it's not sitting properly or if there's dirt or other contaminants in the area, it increases the resistance, which increases heating, which also then would lead to failure. So if it's not addressed in a timely manner, it could ultimately lead to the top of the switch or the fuse melting and
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coming apart.
Q. So was it taken care of as recommended?
A. (Strabone) Yes.
Q. And when was this report done? Do you know?
A. (Strabone) Looking right above that picture, it says 6/19/2017.
Q. Okay. Right. Thank you. I didn't see that.

Page 55 talks about an emergency as part of this test. Can you explain what that emergency was and whether or not it was taken care of?
A. (Strabone) Page 55?
Q. Correct. I'm sorry. Page 56.
A. (Strabone) All right. So you're referring to the box where it says, "Due to a difference of" --
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. (Strabone) It's Page 56, and it says, "Due to a difference of 60, replacement of all three fuses inside and cleaning of the contacts is recommended. This is considered an emergency. Repair within one week."

When you do your thermal inspections, not only do you look at the switch itself, or
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in this case, the fuse holder, you also compare it to the other phases as well just to also have a comparison between all three. And the fact is you can -- it's hard to see. But on that picture where it says "BX1," you can kind of see everything's gray, and you can kind of see there's a little bit of white in there. And there's a red -- there's also a blue triangle and, you know, a red triangle. That's being compared to BX2, which is slightly over to the left. And the difference between the two is 60 degrees Celsius. So there's industry-accepted standards that are out there, that when you're doing thermal vision of this, there's, you know, tolerance for difference in temperatures. But once it exceeds a certain point, it would indicate that it's an emergency and you need to repair it. Some other action levels could be, you know, just monitor. Or it could be, you know, repair at the next planned outage. However, this one was classified as an emergency, repair within one week. And to the best of my knowledge,
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that was taken care of.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Dexter, you're on mute.

MR. DEXTER: Okay. So now I've done it once, twice. And maybe I was muted all three times.

BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. My question was do you know the cost of that repair, the emergency repair?
A. (Strabone) That would be a maintenance cost as well. I do not have that.
Q. Okay. Scrolling down to Page 60 , we have a different type of report from a different vendor. Is it pronounced "Wideman"? Do you have that right?
A. (Strabone) That is correct.
Q. This report was done in August of 2017. And could you describe the nature of this test, what it was testing for?
A. (Strabone) Sure. This is on the transformer. It's taking an oil sample out of one of the valves out of the transformer and sending it out to the lab for analysis. And then they do a dissolved gas analysis on the oil, and
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that's per standards that are out there in the industry.

If you look in the middle of this page, you can see what's called "DGA Diagnostics." That kind of gives you a breakdown of what was found in the oil. So when you look at this, it says, "Hydrogen: Condition 2 indications of partial discharge activity." So that means internally, inside the transformer, you have arcs or sparks occurring between two current-carrying pieces of copper inside there. That's not conducive to a transformer. You don't want that happening.

The next few gases that they did tests for, as in methane, ethane, ethylene and acetylene as well, they did find those amounts in there, but they're all acceptable compared to industry standards.

The next one is carbon monoxide, which is indications of overheating cellulose insulation. Basically just means the loading on the transformer has produced -- is enough where it's actually starting to produce some
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breakdown of the cellulose insulation. And that actually does go hand-in-hand with the fact that you actually start to see some partial discharge. 'Cause the cellulose actually helps insulate some of the -- it actually helps insulate some of the copper that's inside the transformer from one part to another. And when that starts to break down, as I mentioned, you can actually have some discharge, some electricity sparks or arcing between those points as the insulation breaks down.

And then ultimately you combine all of this for what you see is the TDCG, which is total dissolved combustible gases. And it says that it exceeds normal concentration, fault may be present. And that goes along with the fact that in the main tank, with the cellulose insulation breaking down and with partial discharge happening, you are actually starting to see a transformer inside start to break down and deteriorate and fail.

So ultimately out of this, their
recommendation is to retest quarterly,
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exercise caution, analyze for individual gases, and determine load dependency. So what you really have to do here is with inside the substation, you have to be aware that that's happening internally to the unit. It could fail, you know, while you're in there, or actually at any time. And you have to start thinking about mitigation to either off-load the unit and replace it.
Q. And which transformer is this?
A. (Strabone) Unfortunately, it just gives a serial number, and I don't have it written down in front of me.
Q. But it's one of the ones we were talking about, the 10L1, 2 or 4?
A. (Strabone) That is correct.
Q. Okay. That's -- yeah.

What do the notations on the next page mean, where it says in middle of the page, "GOQ Diagnostics," and there's five or six or seven things? And I see a bunch of "acceptables" or "not applicable." I don't see anything that says "unacceptable." Could you explain what those are?
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CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Dexter, I'm sorry to interrupt. Mr. Buckley is not on my screen anymore. Is that intentional?

MR. DEXTER: Not to my knowledge.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We'll give him a minute.

MR. BUCKLEY: I think I'm going to be having some bandwidth issues. But I can still see and hear most folks.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. If you lose the ability to participate, please let Mr. Dexter know so that I can make sure --

MR. BUCKLEY: Sure. Will do.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Go ahead. Apologize for the interruption. BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. So the question $I$ had asked, $I$ don't know if you heard it all, I'm looking now at Page 61, in the middle of the page, and there's a section called GOQ Diagnostics. And the conclusion seems to be that everything's acceptable for service. Can you explain what that box is showing?
A. (Strabone) No, it's not. It was telling you
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
that the moisture content in the oil is acceptable. So when you look at this, this is more along the lines for what they pulled out of the oil sample itself and how the oil looks out of the transformer. So when you look through there, it says Moisture In Oil; so how much water was in the oil sample. This is not saying that there's none. It's just saying that the amount that was in there was, according to what they found and according to what they reference as IEEE standard, they were saying that the oil itself, the moisture content was acceptable. The Acid Number, again, you know, the Color Number and Visual Diagnostic, not acceptable; Dielectric Breakdown, not acceptable. The next one is -- so there's two different dielectric breakdowns. There's a ASTM D-877 and 1816. That's just different testing methods. So once again, on one of them it's not acceptable and the other one it is -MR. DEXTER: Excuse me for interrupting, but I'm not seeing any "not acceptables." I'm seeing a lot of "not
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applicables."
A. (Strabone) Oh, sorry. Excuse me. You're right. My apologies. You're right. Not applicable.
Q. Okay. So I'm sorry to interrupt you. But you can continue, or $I$ can just ask the next question, which is sort of a summary.

Is your takeaway from this report what you said earlier, retest quarterly, exercise caution, analyze for gases, and determine load dependency. Is that the major takeaway from this report?
A. (Strabone) Really what the major takeaway is, is the fact that, for me, when I look at this, I see that we have an issue, that we have a transformer that is beginning to fail and needs to be addressed. So on this snapshot in time, which is whenever the oil sample was taken, that's what you saw. That doesn't mean that it's continuing to be the same way. And as I mentioned earlier, once you get this -- you know, once you start going down this path of internal failure, there's no turning back. It doesn't
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self-heal. It doesn't self-correct. It just gets worse. Even if you off-load the transformer and put minimal load on it, you're still incurring loss and deterioration internally on the unit itself.

So the major takeaway from this, what I
look at, since you asked, is I look at this unit and I say we have an issue with this substation, that if this transformer were to fail, we need to get a replacement in there. And it's not going to be an easy lift, because, once again, the transformer itself is so old, that if we were to buy the same unit, same-size unit, which is a 7-megawatt unit, they're physically larger, and it would not fit in this area. So we actually have, you know, a pretty significant issue on our hands that we need to be proactive or replace the unit. That would be the takeaway from this. I wouldn't take away that the oil on such-and-such a date at such-and-such a time looks okay.
Q. Okay. That's why I asked.

What would be the cost of changing out
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the transformer?
A. (Strabone) Changing out the transformer itself, you really just can't do, because once again we're looking at a rebuild of where the transformer sits. At that point, you know, you have other pieces of equipment that are 50 years old as well and don't meet clearances, and they're obsolete. You're really looking at basically a replacement from the 23 kV down to the 13 for this type of unit. So you're going to have high side protection, which is no longer going to be fuses because it's not really an acceptable type of high side protection for the transformer. So now you're going to need to use a high side recloser at the 23 kV level, or a 23 kV breaker. You have the transformer itself. You have to have voltage regulators. You have to have a low side recloser or breaker itself, all the structural
aluminum -- or structural steel that goes with it, foundations, you're looking at a million dollars plus to really address that.
Q. Okay. And did the Company do the quarterly
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reports, the follow-up reports that were recommended?
A. (Strabone) Quarterly... I do have recent -yeah, we have recent data on this.
Q. Well, it said retest quarterly. And we got this response sometime over the summer, I guess, of '20 -- no. We got this response in the fall of 2019. This test was done in the summer of 2017. So there probably should have been eight or ten quarterly tests, but $I$ didn't see any other ones in the package that you sent. Were there other reports like this dated later?
A. (Strabone) We have them for this year. But in between that time period, I believe we provided what we had.
Q. Okay. So does that mean that they were done and you didn't have the reports, or do you believe that the tests weren't done quarterly?
A. (Strabone) I am not -- I really don't have that answer, to be honest with you. I would have to follow up with our substation folks to see if they have those test results.
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Q. Okay. Starting at Page 73, going all the way to Page 246 -- well, before we leave that.

So we looked at the Weidmann report for the one transformer, and that started on Page 60, and went to Page 61. It says End of Report. And then there's another one. Is that a different transformer? Did you test all three?
A. (Strabone) I'm sorry. I was on Page 73.

What page are you on?
Q. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wanted to leave the Weidmann reports behind. But I think there were actually three of them, and I only asked you about one of them. Is that right?
A. (Strabone) Let me go back to that page. Hold on, please.
(Pause)
Yeah, these are for all different
units --
Q. Different transformers?
A. (Strabone) Correct. And you can tell by the serial number that's up at the top of the test report.
Q. Okay. So then, starting on Page 62, that's a
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new report for a different transformer; right?
A. (Strabone) Hold on one second. That's correct.
Q. And what's the conclusion drawn for this report on this transformer?
A. (Strabone) So, yeah, once again, if you kind of look in the middle of that page, on Page 62, you see DGA Diagnostics. You can see, you know, the gasses that were present inside the unit. So you can see that hydrogen was within, you know, Condition 1 limits; methane was within Condition 1 limits; ethane was an elevated level, which indicates indications of overheating; ethylene and acetylene, within normal Condition 1 limits; carbon monoxide is Condition 3, which indicates significantly overheated cellulose; Condition 4, severe indications of overheated cellulose for carbon dioxide. And then basically your total dissolved combustible gases -(connectivity issue)
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
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A. (Strabone) Sure. Those exceed normal concentrations, and, in turn, faults may be present. So once again, similar to the unit that we just spoke of, this unit has internal issues with the cellulose insulation breaking down, possibly having internal arcing between its internal parts. And similar to the other units as well, you actually have to exercise extreme caution, determine load dependency. And really, once again, the takeaway from this would be that we have another unit inside of Barron Ave. substation that is continuing to degrade and deteriorate and that ultimately is going to fail, and replacement is needed.
Q. And this one, like the other one, the advice was to retest quarterly. Were those tests done?
A. (Strabone) I don't have the information in front of me from when this was taken in 2017 to -- I believe we had test data in 2019, and we have test data now as well in 2020. I would have to find out about that.
Q. Okay. And if I look up to the top of this
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page, it says that this unit was manufactured in 1971; correct?
A. (Strabone) Yes, that's correct.
Q. And if I go back up two pages to the transformer we talked about first, that one was manufactured in 2002; is that correct?
A. (Strabone) That is.
Q. Okay. Now going to the third report. This one starts on Page 64. This unit was manufactured in 1970; correct?
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. And the recommendation here was to retest annually. Maybe you could interpret for us the DGA Diagnostics paragraph again.
A. (Strabone) Absolutely. So hydrogen, methane, ethane, acetylene, they were all within Condition 1 limits, which was acceptable normal operation. Carbon monoxide and also carbon dioxide, indications of overheated cellulose insulation. So once again, we're starting to have the cellulose inside get overheated due to loading and possibly starting to break down, once again starting the process of where we're ultimately going
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
to find from this testing, as the other two units, that we have internal arcing and have to exercise with caution. And then ultimately, once again, we have another unit that's headed down the path of failure.
Q. Okay. And so in these reports we've seen Conditions 1, 2, 3 and 4 mentioned. What's the highest condition you get to in these reports?
A. (Strabone) Four.
Q. So four is the end. Okay.

So then I wanted to move on to the end of this. I jumped down to Page 73. And this seems to be a series of $V \& O$, visual and operational inspection reports.
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. If you flip through all these, I saw things like gaps in fence, vegetation. I see a lot of checkmarks on these reports and a lot of -- I see mostly checkmarks. Occasionally I see an "L." Can you -- I don't want to take up too much time on this. It's almost 200 pages of reports. What do these show you?
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A. (Strabone) These just show that they've conducted their visual inspection. They've gone in -- you know, at the top here on Page 73, where it says Control House, they've looked. Does it have the log book? Yes, check mark. Control panel, check mark. Tags and switching order, check mark. So these are the stuff that they found. You know, in there, they're looking for these to make sure that the substation has this information. And you can kind of see there, heating -- you know, heaters, lighting, they found all that. As you go through, you can see that there's vandalism that they found out there. There is fence, there is barbed wire, there are gates, there were locks. Let's see.

Next thing, if you go down to where it says Circuit Breakers and Reclosers, so what they're really trying to find here is they're really looking to see, like as you walk by the unit, is there anything that's really standing out that you can notice. Like it says, it's really just a visual inspection. So you're going to look at the recloser. Is
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there rust? Is there oil weeping out anywhere? Does it have animal protection on the bushings? If you open up the relay cabinet, one of the cabinets on there, is there a lightbulb? Does it work? So that's really what you're going to see on this. You know, they have to check the fence to make sure that, you know, the fence hasn't been cut, meaning someone's gone in and tried to steal the copper grounds, which, you know, that's always been an issue. Sorry. On my screen it's a little hard to read on some of these. It's just faint.

Moving down, the voltage regulators and LTC, they're recording the position indicator; where has it been. They're looking at dials and if there's anything out of the ordinary.
Q. Is there anything in these $V \& O$ reports that would conclude that a substation needed to be retired? Or is this more of, you know, let's take a quick look at it regularly, and if there's any major problems, we'll flag it, but no one's going to retire a substation on
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the basis of $a \operatorname{V\& O}$ report? Is that fair?
A. (Strabone) Yeah, that's a fair statement. You're basically going out there, and something you might find on this is potentially going to -- you know, if you go out to a substation and you look at a transformer, you're going to do a visual inspection and see that the oil's weeping around the main valve. You know, from there you're going to bring it to the -- you know, you're going to bring this report to the supervisor, who's going to look at it. He may go and look and find out that it's a crack on the main valve and it now needs to be replaced. The main valve needs to be replaced or something needs to be addressed.

So it's really -- you're right. You're not going to retire a substation on a V\&O, but it may start the process of further testing and further investigation and analysis.
Q. Okay. So the decision then to retire the Barron Ave. substation on the basis of its asset condition would have been made on the
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basis of the reports in the first 60 or 70 pages that we just went through; is that correct?
A. (Strabone) That would be part of it. And then the other part of it -- yes, you know, the internal gases, the transformer, the availability of parts, what the actual -you know, can we replace it if failed. But, yeah, it's the more in-depth analysis and testing that we would do and the test report that you would see that we went through in the previous part of that.
Q. And is it fair to say that of all the reports we looked at -- the UPG reports, the Weidmann reports, the Liberty reports -- that the Weidmann reports had what you would call the more "dire" conclusions?
A. (Strabone) It would. But you also have to take everything -- you can't just take one snapshot of something and look at it that way. So, yes. You have to look the UPG, what they came with, the relays having issues. You have to look at the transformer V\&O. Is it leaking? Is it rusting? Are
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there cracks? Are there other physical deterioration? And then you have to take the Weidmann and the Doble stuff, and you have to look at that. And that gives you your overall picture.

But you're right. If you look at the few bullets that -- you know, the reports that you pulled out we looked at, out of all those, the most concerning is ultimately what you see, if you just look at one thing would be the Weidmann. But like I said, you can't just look at that. You have to look at everything else that's going on.
Q. Right. To be clear, we didn't just -- I assume you just didn't pick out a few reports. The request was for all the maintenance reports, and that's what you sent us. I assume that there aren't another pile that we haven't seen yet. So let's just clear that up.
A. (Strabone) I did not mean to imply that, if that's how it came across.
Q. Okay. Good.

Okay. Would you also agree that none of
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
these reports contained a recommendation that the substation be replaced or retired? It all contained recommendations for monitoring and retesting. Is that a fair assessment of the reports?
A. (Strabone) It is. But that's within the limits of what those people were hired for. They're not going to come out and say go replace your substation. That's not, you know, the scope of what they're doing. They're looking -- you know, we're asking them to provide an analysis on $X, Y, Z$, and that's what they do. We have to take that into consideration, the results that they give us, along with all the other information that we get, then make a final decision of what we deem is best for the system itself. So when you see where they say there, you know, test quarterly, that's what they're going to give. Because if they turned around and said you'd got to go and replace all this information -- I mean all this equipment, they're going out of their scope of what they've been hired to do.
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Q. And you're saying that the harshest recommendation they would make would be to test quarterly if they encountered a situation that required immediate replacement?
A. (Strabone) So you kind of got a little glimpse as we looked through. You saw it in there. It says, you know, proceed with caution or exercise with caution, determine loading -- I forget the actual comment. But on a Condition 4, where it's the worst that you can have, that's the analysis they give you is proceed with caution.
Q. Yeah. Now we have a similar set of reports for Salem Depot. And I can go through them page by page like we did, but it's going to be another long exercise. Can you summarize for me the Salem Depot reports? This is Exhibit 44.
A. (Strabone) Give me a second to pull that up, please.
(Pause)
Mr. Dexter, without taking time to go page by page as you indicated, I quickly
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pulled up Exhibit 44, Part 1, and was just skimming through. And once again I see that, you know, similar to Barron Ave., it had hot spots in there. I know from testing, the gas analysis on the transformers, once again we have the same issues there with those units as we did with Barron Ave., that some of them are gassing internally. And, you know, we're going down the same path with Salem Depot as we are at Barron Ave., all the same conditions that I referenced about asset concerns, age of the transformers, age of the other equipment, availability of replacement parts, construction. You know, if a part fails, it's not a direct one-to-one replacement. All those apply to Salem Depot as well. And, you know, as you mentioned, going through and taking a look at some of the oil analyses that we have, it's the same type of comments. We're going down a path that these transformers are starting to deteriorate internally and, you know, potentially leading to failure.
Q. Okay. Under the current plans, then, when
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would those two substations be retired?
A. (Strabone) Our current plan for our overall? If we stay the course with our Salem Area Study and overall improvements, these two units would be retired within the next year or two.
Q. So if we could go to Response 2-4, please. And this is Exhibit 53.
A. (Strabone) Yes.
Q. So here we asked for an update of those reports that we were just looking at. If I understand the answer correctly, it says the reports were done, the assets are still in service, and the details of those reports will be provided when the Salem Area Study is provided once it's completed.

Why would you not have provided those reports in response to this question if they are in existence?
A. (Strabone) We were delivering all that as one, you know, as part of the Salem Area Study, which I believe we hope to have completed within the next month. You know, and really, I mean, none of that
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information -- with the recent test data that we have, I mean, it really just showed the same, exact stuff that's going on at Barron Ave. And actually, I know that Salem Depot has even gotten -- one of the transformers, the 9L2, has even gotten worse; whereas, when we -- it also is recommended to be out of service due to internal faults. Ultimately, with this information -- (connectivity issue) (Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. (Strabone) I'm not sure of the timing of the study that came out when this response was filed. I think it was filed June 15, 2020. I'm not sure when all that other information became available for the analysis of the test results.
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah. So part of this is part of what we discussed during our -- the process of updating the Salem Area Study was to provide all this information and updated testing and anything we had. And right now we're waiting for the final report. One of the things that will come with the final report is updated tests. We did ask for any
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information, prior to this hearing today, for updated information. But when we filed this, we did not have this data response. We didn't have the updated information at the time.

And also to note that when we do visit Salem -- well, actually, so, you know, Staff will be joining us next Monday for a visit to all of our substations in the Salem area. And just as a note, when we do visit, we actually can't go into Salem Depot due to the significant issues for safety. We will only be able to view it outside the fence. And I don't know if Mr. Strabone wants to elaborate on that. Essentially, the safety issues are so bad that the visit will be outside the fence.
A. (Strabone) Just to elaborate, with the test results coming back on the 9 L 2 , we don't feel it's safe for members of the general public with this transformer, where it's deteriorated significantly. And we feel it's just safer to view from outside the fence for Salem Depot.
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Q. Now, the replacement of the two substations is not in this step adjustment; correct? You're not looking for recovery of that.
A. (Tebbetts) That's correct.
Q. Okay. Can you just remind the Commission -I know it's a bit outside the scope of this case. But could you remind the Commission of what the cost of the replacements would be? Is it what we saw at Golden Rock, or are there other costs as well?
A. (Tebbetts) So the costs associated was for replacement of these substations. We are not looking to replace these substations. We are looking to retire these substations, and we are looking to build one single substation to accommodate that Rockingham substation.
Q. Right. And my recollection from the record of the rate case is that the Rockingham station, in conjunction with the 115 kV line, is budgeted at around $\$ 30$ million. Does that sound right?
A. (Tebbetts) No. No, it doesn't.
Q. Could you tell me what the right number is?
A. (Tebbetts) I'd have to go back and look at
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our testimony in the original. If you just want to give me one moment, $I$ can pick that up.
Q. Sure.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And while she's
looking, let's go off the record.
(Discussion off the record.)
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yeah, we're back on the record.
A. (Tebbetts) Okay. Thank you.

So if we turn to our initial rate case filing -- and that is Volume 2, Bates Page Volume 2-197 -- we provided a breakdown of the capital spending plan for the Salem Area Study. And in there you can see through 2023 we have a breakdown of the information. So Golden Rock substation cost in 2019 was the \$2 million. And then the cost in 2019, also for the distribution lines, which is the feeders that we discussed today, the 1916 and the 19 I8, is 1.65 million. And then we add in the Rockingham project later on. So I have to do quick math.
(Pause)
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So when you add up all of those pieces, now you're looking at that $\$ 30$ million. Part of those things have already been completed, and those are the things we've come today to talk about for cost recovery. The distribution lines and the substation have already been part of that.

So I guess my point is that the rest of the substation work for Rockingham and the $T$ Line are not the $\$ 30$ million. That's all part of everything that we're doing in the Salem area.
Q. Right, right. That's what I meant. And you actually pointed me to the very page I was thinking of. And I did the math on that last column many months ago, and I get $\$ 29,950,000$. That's where I got the 30 million.

So basically the point I'm trying to make is that the Salem area work is budgeted to be about $\$ 30$ million, which $I$ think we now agree with. And what's at issue today is about $\$ 4$ million of Golden Rock substation work; is that right?
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A. (Tebbetts) No. Actually, it's Golden Rock substation work and two feeders.
Q. Right. I'm sorry. I lumped those together as Golden Rock work.

Those five projects total up to about \$4 million $I$ believe.
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah. Well, I wouldn't consider the Tuscan install projects to be part of this, certainly because that's service to customers under our line extension policy. So the other four projects I would consider to be part of the Salem Area Study.
Q. Okay. And the total rate base of the Company from the last case that we just were in the process of concluding is roughly $\$ 100$ million; is that right? Give or take a million or two.
A. (Tebbetts) I don't have that number in front of me. But, you know, subject to check, if that's your recollection, then okay. But I don't have that number in front of me.
Q. Since you made me take out the binder, I'm just going to take a minute to check. I'm pretty sure I'm in the ballpark.
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## (Pause)

A. (Tebbetts) Actually, if you're looking at the total plant in service -- is that what you're looking at? At the end of 2018 --
Q. Sure.
A. (Tebbetts) Okay. Well, I have found that on Bates Volume 2-099. And as of the end of the historic test year, total plant in service was $\$ 232,503,131$.
Q. Yeah, but I think that's gross plant.
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah. And then the accumulated depreciation was 93 million. So total rate base was 139 million, as shown on Bates Volume 2-100, Line 36.
Q. Okay. And I'm looking at 124, and it's not actually rate base, it's net utility plant of 138 million. Your proposed rate base was 106 million. That's on Page 124. But I'm just trying to put this into perspective. So we don't need to...
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah, and I think over the years, you know, we've looked at, you know, our issues in the area. Salem is one of the last areas for us to look at with upgrades. I
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mean, we have provided, you know, safer, more reliable service up in our Charlestown area with the upgrades we've done to Michael Ave. to retire the Charlestown substation. We also provided better, safer, more reliable service up in the Lebanon area with our mountain support upgrades.

So, again, you know, we're looking at a safe and reliable service, but we're also -you know, one of the things we haven't chatted about here is the capacity issues that we have, considering Tuscan Village has -- in one of our responses we provided, 2-3, we provide an update of information of about almost -- of at least 18 megawatts of load coming in. And we're looking at almost 10 coming in by the end of this year. So while we really focused on asset condition here, we haven't focused on the capacity issues that we've been dealing with, which I will add are today's issue. We already have a lot of load that has already come in. We've had an increase in that load than what we originally expected. The Tuscan system,
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they're not stopping construction for anything. In fact, they've added projects to what they're looking to deliver here.

So, again, while we focused on asset condition, we need those two feeders to serve this capacity that we have today that has already come online. And a lot of this, more than half of it, like I said, almost at least 9, almost 10 megawatts of load is coming online by the end of 2020 that we absolutely have to serve here in the Salem area. We don't have the option to tell the customer, no, stop building. So we need to find a way to serve this load. And the upgrades that we've already done were done to do that today. We always will have asset condition issues. But, you know, maybe there's a potential to deal with Barron Ave. next year or the year after. But with Tuscan coming in now and building and having load last year here, it had to be done prior to this load coming in; otherwise, you just can't serve the load. We would literally have to say no.
Q. Right. And I had a lot of questions about
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the load at Tuscan Village that I wanted to get to. The reason I focused my inquiry today on asset conditions thus far was because when $I$ asked the question at the outset, why were these Golden Rock investments made, the answer was that it was to address asset conditions.

There is an exhibit. I think it's
Response 2-4. I'm having a hard time finding all my responses today. But I believe there is an exhibit, Response 2-4, that says just what you said, Ms. Tebbetts, that it was to serve asset condition and projected load. So I have some questions about load.

MR. DEXTER: I actually wouldn't mind a break to organize those questions. I know that the Chair mentioned 3:00. Would it be possible to take a break now instead? CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: How much time are you thinking?

MR. DEXTER: Fifteen minutes or so.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that's
fine. We'll do that now, and then if we need another one...
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[WITNESSES: HEATHER TEBBETTS, ANTHONY STRABONE]

MR. DEXTER: Thank you very much. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.

Let's return at 2:45.
MR. DEXTER: Thank you.
(Brief recess was taken at 2:29 p.m., and the hearing resumed at 3:02 p.m.) CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Mr.

Dexter.
MR. DEXTER: Thank you, Chairwoman Martin.

BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. When we left, we were talking about the projected load in the Salem area. So I do have some questions I'd like to ask about that, and I'd like to look at two exhibits. One is Exhibit 51. And I'd like to use the confidential one, but I think I can ask my questions, and the answers could be given without divulging the confidential information, which is the load information for the particular customers. That's Exhibit 51.

And at the same time there's a nice map of the Tuscan Village development that was
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[WITNESSES: HEATHER TEBBETTS, ANTHONY STRABONE]
attached to Mr. Dudley's testimony in the main rate case, and that's Exhibit 1 -Exhibit 21. And it's the very last page of his long attachments. It's actually Bates Page 617.
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah. Hang on.

MR. DEXTER: So if everyone's ready, I can proceed or...

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Tebbetts, are you ready?

WITNESS TEBBETTS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Can I get -there's four parts to Mr. Dudley's testimony. Can you give me the Bates page number again, maybe the part if you know it?

MR. DEXTER: Yeah. It's Part 4.
It's the very last page in Part 4, Bates 617.
COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Tebbetts, are you ready?

WItNeSS TEBBETTS: Yes.
BY MR. DEXTER:
Q. Okay. So discussion of load in this
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development invariably breaks down into discussions about the north side and the south side, and so I just wanted to confirm. I'm looking at the map in Mr. Dudley's testimony, and there's a larger parcel to the left, a smaller parcel to the right. And it looks like a north arrow pointing, $I$ don't know, down to the right. Could you confirm for me, the smaller portion, is that the north load, the north portion?
A. (Strabone) Yes, it is, if you're looking at this page, the section that's on the right. And I believe you see the numbers on the boxes says 4, 5, 6, 8, 7 and 9. That's considered the north parcel.
Q. Okay. And it's separated by that green belt. And then to the left of that is the south parcel.
A. (Strabone) That is correct.
Q. Okay. And my understanding is one of these parcels is more developed than the other one; in other words, it was done before the other one. Is that right?
A. (Strabone) That's correct. That would be the
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north parcel.
Q. The north parcel or the smaller parcel.
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. And Exhibit 51, which is the confidential data response, breaks down, sort of on a customer-by-customer basis, load for the north parcel; is that correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And the current total for that load appears to be about 4 megawatts. Let me just pull that up. If I go to Page 2, I see Total Tuscan -- and it's not blocked out as confidential -- I see 3.96 megawatts. Is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Okay. And that indicates that the increase from the original estimate was 2.5 megawatts. When was that original estimate done?
A. (Tebbetts) Original estimate was -- wait. I have to go back and look. 2017-2018 time frame.
Q. Okay. And then below Total Tuscan is a line called Customer Request, 3 megawatts. Could you explain what that is?
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A. (Tebbetts) Sure. We have a customer, a large customer in our Salem area, that is looking to expand. And we received a request for service, additional service in that area, about a few months -- well, not a few months ago, a couple months ago, looking to do an expansion at about 3 megawatts load.
Q. And that would not be in the Tuscan Village map that we were just looking at; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) That's correct.
Q. But it would be served by the same infrastructure that's encompassed in that \$29,950,000 list that we looked at earlier; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Okay. And is this north parcel essentially built out now? Is this what you expect from the north parcel in terms of future load?
A. (Tebbetts) This is what we're looking to expect, yes, for right now. Yeah. I don't know if there's any extra space on the north parcel for additional load. I don't know if Mr. Strabone can elaborate on that.
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A. (Strabone) I believe this takes into account the areas of the north that have not yet been developed --
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. (Strabone) I was just saying that I believe this takes care of the remaining available space on the north for projected load.
Q. And that's where we see things like Retail 3, Retail 4, Retail 1 through 4. Those are projections rather than actual customers; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. So Retail 3 and Retail 4, those are the two that we were not anticipating, and now they are anticipated to be load.
Q. And what does the "MB" stand for? Is that Market Basket?
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah, we just call it Market Basket. It's in that strip mall area.
Q. Okay. So is it fair to say, then, that this load, the 3.9 megawatts, is roughly half residential -- that's the first two customers -- and then the rest is retail, essentially, and restaurants? Is that right?
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A. (Tebbetts) Yeah. It's a little less than half residential, but pretty close.
Q. Okay. But there's nothing industrial in this parcel; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) There's nothing industrial.
Q. Okay. So from the outset, from when the case started, I recall that the projected load for the Tuscan Village was between 14 and

17 megawatts. Does that sound right?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And now if $I$ go to the top of this exhibit, Page 1 of 2 , it says that the north parcel load is 4 megawatts. And you've just detailed it for us. And it says the south parcel, there's been little to no change. Total load of 14 to 15 megawatts is expected. So that's in the range of what we've been talking about all along, 14 to

17 megawatts. But now, the north -- the south parcel is now projected to be in the 14 to 15 megawatts. Am I reading that right?
A. (Tebbetts) No. So originally both parcels were originally 14 and 17 megawatts, figuring about 14 to 15 in the south parcel and about
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2 or so in the north parcel. So the south parcel has not changed; so about 14 to 15 megawatts. The additional load is coming into the north parcel at about 4.
Q. Okay. So let's go back to the map then. What makes up the projected load in the south parcel?
A. (Tebbetts) The projected load in the south parcel?
Q. The south parcel being the larger load that's not yet developed.
A. (Tebbetts) Yup. So what we have in the south parcel is... I'm just looking at some information $I$ have here. We have office space. We have more residential from Dolben, which is in the list of the north parcel as well. We have retail, and we have a 55-plus community. We have a hotel, and then we have a bunch of retail buildings. We do have one large hospital customer coming in, and we also have some other retail buildings coming in.
Q. So with the exception of the hospital, it sounds like the load mix is somewhat similar
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to the smaller north parcel; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) It's somewhat similar. I will say that there is more retail again here.

But we do have a large customer, the Hanover Apartments, which is, I believe, assisted living or a long-term-care living, that's coming to service in the next month or so. That's a significant amount of load as part of this. So, again, yeah, I would say that it's a mix again.
Q. Okay. And how much, if any, of the load is in service now?
A. (Tebbetts) In service?
Q. Yeah, in the south parcel. Excuse me.
A. (Tebbetts) In the south parcel, I don't believe we've energized -- I apologize. No. We have just -- I'm looking at my list. So as of right now, we only have Klemm's in service right this moment. But we expect -- let's see. We expect the Hanover Apartments to go in service in July -they're already built, they're just finishing them up -- which is a huge development for, like I said, assisted living.
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}

And I have to look at a list. All of -we have a whole bunch of buildings. I have to count. We have 11 buildings. On my list we just call them by building numbers, so Building 100, Building 200. Eleven of them are going to go in service this year. They're in progress right now. They're actually being built. If you were to drive in that area, you can see the whole building facade is already being built up.
Q. Out of a total of how many that you project?
A. (Tebbetts) How many buildings?
Q. Yeah.
A. (Tebbetts) Let me count. So outside of the Dolben, there's 23 buildings that -- Dolben is the residential living. So I'm just counting how many will be ready. And 17 of those are either in service or will be in service by the end of this year, or pretty close to being in service.
Q. All right. I thought you said 11. It's 17 will be in service by the end of the year?
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah. I guess when I referred to 11, I was referring to this cluster of
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buildings. But then there's other buildings in the area where we have a couple -- like the Hanover Apartments, that will be in service this year. You know, we have other ones that won't be in service this year.
Q. Okay. And do you have identified customers in those buildings and projected load?
A. (Tebbetts) Yup. Those, they have secured tenants, yes.
Q. Okay. Have those load projections been submitted in this docket at all or in the main rate case?
A. (Tebbetts) We did get a request for information in Staff 5-14, and the request was for information about what had already -you know, what was coming online. But we did not receive any other data requests other than 5-14 on load coming online.
Q. So what does that show?
A. (Tebbetts) That just showed -- I have to pull it up. Just one second.
(Pause)
So you had asked for planning work for Golden Rock and Rockingham, actual load for
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those services that had been energized. And we provided you the actual loads at the time for the services that had been energized. That data request was due on August 8th, 2019. And that was in response to a question on 3-29 for other questions about the Tuscan Village project. But this is the only time we were asked for information, as I can recall, for actual services that had been energized. At the time, we didn't have many customers energized, only a handful.
Q. Right. And so my recollection was that it was like under a megawatt from 5-14.
A. (Tebbetts) That very well may be true. I don't recall. But the ones -- you know, it says -- I can tell you that, you know, Market Basket at the time had been opened. So if you look on the list on 2-3, you know, we can talk about, you know, the Market Basket, the Ford. Black Brook is in phases, and Phase I was already done, but the other ones had not been energized.
Q. Right. And those are all on the north side.

I guess I was interested in what 5-14 said
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about the south parcel, the larger parcel.
A. (Tebbetts) It didn't say anything because the building for the south side started late, late last year into this year, so there was nothing energized down there. The only thing that is energized right now is Klemm's, the gas station that's also a convenience store and has electric vehicle charging stations. Otherwise, nothing else has been energized until, my understanding is July of this year.
Q. Okay. So then, when your response to 2-3 says there's been little to no changes to the total projected load in the south side, it sounds like there's actually been a lot of changes. It sounds like it's gone from having no customers energized, and now it looks like you have a list of 17 buildings identified by name and load projections. Am I missing something? None of this is in the record that $I$ know of. And I think it would help Staff, and it would help the Commission a lot to have some information in the record about projected load on the larger south side parcel.
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A. (Tebbetts) So, actually we did provide the phasing plan. And if $I$ could find the data request, $I$ just can't at the second, I want to say we did provide the phasing-- we actually provided, oh, I can't remember what data request number it is. We provided the whole plan to Staff in one of our data responses. And I don't see it at the moment right now to find it. But it was a whole plan that was actually submitted to the Town of Salem from Tuscan that provided all of the information and what exactly was going in. And forgive me, $I$ just can't remember off the top of my head which data response that was. But we did provide all of that information. And so when I raised the question -when $I$ answered this question, you said please provide any information as it becomes known to the Company since our rebuttal testimony. There's no new information. We've been claiming this whole time that there will be 14 to 17 megawatts of load coming in on these two parcels. I would suggest there's no new information other than
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what we provided, that now we're actually looking at 18 megawatts and a potential 3 megawatt expansion of a very large customer.

So when you say that we've not provided any information with regards to the 14 to 17 megawatts, I would respectfully have to say we were never asked for a list of customer's information. And I'll also say there is a data request out there that provided a whole plan of what was going into the north and south parcels. I wish I could remember off the top of my head which one it was at this moment. Maybe someone listening on our end could find it for me, please.
Q. But you did provide a customer-by-customer list of the north parcel. I just don't understand why we don't have the same thing from the south parcel if it exists. It's the same question. We got, you know, a very detailed description of what's going on at the smaller parcel, but we didn't get any information on the larger parcel. I don't understand.
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A. (Tebbetts) Because nothing has changed in the south parcel. And that's what we were asked to provide. So, again, I wouldn't be providing anything that hasn't changed, No. 1.

No. 2, the other issue is some of these customers are confidential customers because Tuscan hasn't been clear and come out with the names of these customers. As I noted, the hospital, I'm not sure that information is public. So I'm not noting who that hospital person is -- hospital company is. And when $I$ note buildings, again, that's the information $I$ have because a lot of this is confidential information. Tuscan has provided us with information on load, but they've not necessarily provided us with company names that would be moving into these locations. For whatever the reasons are, I'm not privy to that information. So, again, $I$ guess what I'm saying to you is nothing has changed with regards to load in those areas.
Q. You haven't received more detail on load and customers in the larger north parcel than
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what you had last summer when you answered that data request? Is that what you're saying?
A. (Tebbetts) No. What I'm saying is in the south parcel. The north parcel, that was provided --
Q. (connectivity issue) I'm sorry.
A. That's correct. In the north parcel we have. In the south parcel, we have not. Nothing has changed in the south parcel as far as load projections.
Q. What's the basis for the load projections in the 17 buildings in the south parcel? How do you make those load projections?
A. (Tebbetts) So Tuscan provides us with customer -- not customer data, but load data for the kind of customer that's going to be going into that location. And what we do is, you know, conduct a load calculation to figure out what size of everything that we need to install, such as transformers and, you know, other devices out in the system. So Tuscan Village Company has provided us that information, saying, okay, on
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building -- I'll just give you an example. We'll say Building 100 is going to be 245 kW. That's what they tell us, based on the kind of customer that they're going to be putting into that. So we build the system for Building 100. That's part of what we discussed earlier for 8830-1958, which is the installed Tuscan services project. So we would say, okay, so what do we need to build at that location to serve a customer that has that kind of load? And that's how we've been building. That's the information they're providing us. They have tenants signed up. They've told us they've secured their tenants. They have their leases. But we're not always privy to that kind of information. You know, the Hanover Apartments we're privy to right now is almost built, almost completed.
Q. And did the developer provide you similar information with regard to the smaller parcel on the north side?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. It's a little different on that because that one went up pretty quickly.
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But again, there were -- in the beginning, I will say that we were not privy to information, as far as what exactly was going to go into that area into the north parcel, other than the apartments, of course, and, you know, Market Basket. But for the other ones that have opened, like HomeSense and, trying to see here, Sierra, we were not -- we either weren't privy to it or we couldn't discuss it until, you know, everything was lined up for Tuscan.

So we had load information. And what they used -- as I noted in my response, what they used for these customers is most of these customers are franchises. So it could be a beauty store. It could be, you know, a HomeSense. So what they're doing is they're taking load information from other markets, similar markets, and using that data to provide us a load amount. That's why the loads have changed in some of these, because, you know, the HomeSense, the load was a little -- came from a market that was a little smaller, and so now we're clearly a
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larger market, so the load is actually expected to be higher than what was anticipated. The same thing with Market Basket. They were looking at a smaller area of store size, and the store is larger than originally anticipated. So that's why we have a larger load when you look at the original estimate versus the anticipated kw demand on 2-3.
Q. So my quick math tells me that you're expecting about three times the load in the larger parcel versus the smaller parcel -- or four times the load. You've got 4 megawatts in the smaller parcel, and you're projecting 14 to 17 in the larger parcel; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) We're projecting 14 to 15 in the larger parcel. So about 3-1/2 times, almost four. About 3-1/2 times the load, yes.
Q. Okay. Have you been given any information from the developer that would cause you either to nod your head and say that makes sense, or give you pause and say that doesn't make sense, in terms of the number of square footage or the number of buildings or the
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type of load or anything like that --
A. (Tebbetts) Not at all.
Q. Just let me finish. Because the parcel doesn't look four times bigger is what I've seen. And I interrupted you. So your answer is "No."
A. (Tebbetts) Not at all. And I think part of the reason for that is we're also looking at some of the north parcel is residential and then other parts of it is commercial. When you look at the south parcel, it's going to be -- the majority of it is going to be commercial. And they're also looking at other types of customers to come in at some point as well. A hotel, you know, that's on the list. A lot of retail. You know, there's a potential someday for a life science customer. We've been discussing with them that potential. And to be a little more clear about what a life science customer would be, you're looking at the kind of company that you might see on the 128 belt down in Massachusetts coming up here. So they're looking to actually bring in these
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types of customers to this area to provide that economic boost, and they're looking to us to provide that kind of service to sell that potential, so that they have a tenant who is bringing in, you know, that potential revenue for them, as well as the economic benefits of a customer like that here.
Q. And you've got enough detail that you've been able to break this down as to load projected to come online in 2020 versus load projected to come online after 2020; is that right?
A. (Tebbetts) Let me just look at this real quick.
(Pause)
Yeah. Some of this -- so we have about 9-1/2 megawatts expected to come online this year, by the end of this year, and that is for the north and south parcels. When you look at the north parcel, as we noted, if you look at 2-3, the Market -- the MB Retail 3 and 4 will not come online this year. The Retail 1 through 4 is under construction right now. Starbucks is under construction right now. And the restaurants are coming in
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next year. And the 35 North Broadway, it's either the end of this year or the beginning of next year is my understanding of when they want to do that.
Q. And how about the customer with the request of 3 megawatts? Is that this year or next year?
A. (Tebbetts) That would be next year.

So then, for the south parcel, we're looking at the Hanover Apartments to be completed this year. And then those buildings, $I$ noted 17 of them to come in, if not by the end of this year to be in service, by the spring of next year to be in service. They want their tenants to get in there as soon as possible.

So they have been -- I know, Paul, you don't live in the area. But if you took a drive around, you wouldn't recognize Rockingham Park as it was. It's a little boomtown right now. Lots of construction, lots of buildings going up.
Q. I will plead guilty to not getting out much.

And I understand from your testimony
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that last summer there was less than a megawatt hooked up. I think that's what we just established.
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah. I think what we were looking at is last summer, by the peak date, whatever the peak was for the year. You're correct, there was less than a megawatt.
Q. 2019 .
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. So how is the Company going to serve this increase of 9.5 megawatts that's going to come online between 2019 and summer 2020?
A. (Tebbetts) Very carefully. I'll let Mr. Strabone answer that.
A. (Strabone) So we really need to look at the capacity issues in the area and come up with an overall plan to address these capacity issues. And ultimately what this really comes down to is the building out of Rockingham substation to supply the area load.

In the interim, while we're going along with Rockingham, we have about 1 megawatt of capacity on a feeder that will feed the north
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parcel. Taking into consideration the increase of the 2 megawatts to the north and then the possible 3 megawatts outside of the north parcel, unfortunately, that 3 megawatts also ties into the same feeder that we would have that is feeding into the north. We're potentially looking at shifting, you know, 2 to 3 megawatts of load to the feeder that would feed -- or is dedicated to help out feeding the south parcel in the interim.

So what you see here is the load growth in the north and the load growth outside of Tuscan. We just don't have the capacity on the feeder in that area to feed all of that. So the easiest solution, without building, you know, feeder extensions and express feeders to this other load growth, is to shift the load inside Tuscan to another feeder, which is the one on the south. So if we move -- if we feed 2 or 3 megawatts of load from the north on that south feeder, we only have an additional capacity for 7 megawatts of load in our current configuration. So when we look at that, if
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you're looking at the south as 14 to 15, the way we can really handle that is only 7 more megawatts before we start telling customers, thank you for building. You need to wait until we build another substation in order to serve you with electric service in the area --
Q. So -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
A. (Strabone) And the only reason we were able to accommodate even 10 megawatts of load originally out of that south was about two years ago, we extended a feeder out of Pelham into Salem and off-loaded that feeder as much as possible. So a lot of those customers that were originally fed out of a substation in Salem are now being fed out of a substation in Pelham, New Hampshire, and which that freed up capacity for us, you know, to continue to meet the demands of Tuscan while we pursued building the Rockingham substation.
Q. Okay. So if I understood what you were saying, to feed the 10 megawatts, or
9.5 megawatts that was expected to be online
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before the peak of next summer, 2021, you'll be relying on all those existing substations that we talked about this morning, Barron Ave. and Salem Depot, as well as the upgraded capacity in the Golden Rock substation that's at issue in this case; is that right?
A. (Strabone) That's correct. And basically at that point, taking those 9 megawatts, we'll be at our capacity again, with no ability to feed the other 4, 5 megawatts of -- excuse me -- the other additional load in the south as it comes on in subsequent years.
Q. Okay. And the feeders that we talked about to the Tuscan Village this morning, I think it's $\$ 800,000$ that are on the list for recovery in this case.

Now that $I$ have the map in front of me, where do those feeders feed?
A. (Strabone) So if you're looking at the map, 800,000 associated with Tuscan feeders, it's really just the infrastructure within the southern parcel. So I have the exhibit up in front of me. It's somewhat hard to see. But if you kind of look, it's really the
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infrastructure that comes down out the north over the green area. So as we're heading to the left of the page, we're following down -it's hard to see on this, but there's a road that's in there. They basically refer to it as "the road." And you start passing by the individual buildings. So, for example, there's a building here that says "assisted living." So really what we mentioned is really line extension policy. So that 800,000 was spent on the infrastructure, road development internally to it, to install a manhole and a duct system, which is the underground manholes and conduit system, and then underground electrical cable so that we can continue to come down and serve these buildings as the requests come in from the Tuscan development team that they need power.
Q. And when you do those calculations under your extension policy and seek the contributions in aid of construction, is that from the individual tenants or from the developer?
A. (Strabone) So it falls under each individual tenant. And the way it's spliced out is not
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only is it the main portion of the infrastructure down the road, it's also to get to the transformer. And the department that takes care of that takes into consideration, you know, how much of that main duct bank is attributed to each individual lot, and that's how those costs are included in the contributions in aid of construction.
Q. Right. And I think there was a data request in the main rate case that said, for the other substantial upgrades that we've been talking about all day, there is no customer contribution for that. That's all on -that's borne by the Company. Is that fair? Is that right?
A. (Strabone) That's correct.
Q. And so if the load did not materialize, and the build-out was completed, those costs would essentially fall to other customers if they were included in rates.
A. (Strabone) Correct.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.

And Mr. Dexter, I'd like to just follow
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up on my earlier comment. I had said that I didn't know what data response had provided the map of information for the Tuscan. And it was actually Staff Tech Session 1-33. And that also was attached to Mr. Demmer's testimony, and it provides data about square footage. And in 1-33 -- I apologize -- Staff Tech Session 1-33, I believe we had provided some other information as well with regards to this. So...
Q. Okay. But my recollection was there wasn't a detailed load projection customer-by-customer in that response, was there?
A. (Tebbetts) There was no load data. It was square-footage information that we had provided. But no, there was no load projections. And again, the reason for that is at the time things were -- some of this was a little bit fluid as well. But, you know, they had projected based on what they were building, the types of tenants they would have. So that allowed them to look at the market those tenants are in today and provide us with load projections --
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Q. Right. But other than the total number of 14 to 17 megawatts, that detail was never provided in this case, correct, for the larger parcel?
A. (Tebbetts) We were never asked to provide the data, so $I$ do not believe that the breakdown was provided.
Q. So the Salem Area Study that we've been talking about was done several years ago; correct? And it's in fact entitled "Salem Area Study"; is that right?
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. And that was a blueprint or plan for how to handle this projected load in the Salem area using the assets that were available and what assets might be needed in the future. Crude summary, but is that essentially accurate?
A. (Strabone) Essentially accurate. It took into consideration this development, other load group in the area, asset conditions, capacity deficiencies, yes.
Q. And it also incorporated the planning
criteria that the Company uses for
expansions, which were updated as a result of
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the recent settlement; is that correct?
A. (Strabone) Correct.
Q. And my understanding is that from response, I think it's 2-4, but I'll get you the number in a second, the Salem Area Study is in the process of being updated; is that right?
A. (Strabone) That's correct.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And I don't have the exact exhibit number, but I know I made it an exhibit. It's in the 50s somewhere.

When will that updated Salem Area Study be available?
A. (Tebbetts) We're expecting within the next 30 days that we'll be able to follow up on what we discussed, what we told the parties, that we would present to them an update including Staff's recommendation.
Q. And will that include a more detailed load forecast than the original study?
A. (Tebbetts) I do not know what will be included in the final report. When we looked at the report back in -- when we looked at redoing the report back in February, I do
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believe it was, at that time the information that we provided to you today -- or not today, but on June 15th, was not -- not all of it was there. So that customer with the 3 megawatts, they had come to us after that. So that's a significant piece of it. And then some of these other ones are estimates on the north side.

So, again, we're utilizing the
17 megawatts of load, is my understanding, for the Salem Area Study. Essentially nothing has changed with those inputs for load.
Q. But you do have an analysis, even if it's not in the study, that takes you from the
9.5 megawatts you're projecting for this year for both parcels to the 16 to 17 megawatts for both -- I'm sorry, it's more than that now. It's more like 18 megawatts for both parts; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.

MR. DEXTER: Well, I don't think I have any more questions at this time.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank
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you.
Commissioner Bailey.
COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank You.
INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS:
Q. Can you tell me what kind of meters you purchased for the meters that you decided you're going to capitalize? Are they AMR, AMI?
A. (Tebbetts) They're just AMR meters, the ones that we utilize today for our customers. They're not AMI meters.
Q. And what $I$ don't understand is how you did -how we could approve an investment that's not yet used and useful. And I'll stop there.

How do you think we can do that?
A. (Tebbetts) So those meters were installed in 2019, so they are used and useful today. They were installed last year.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Oh}$.
A. (Tebbetts) They're already used.
Q. Oh, I thought you said you bought the meters and you capitalized them and they would be installed in the future. They're all -- all of them are installed?
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A. (Tebbetts) Yes. So I guess what I meant was usually what we would do is you wouldn't capitalize it until they were actually placed into the meter socket, right. We would go out there and install it. But for all the reasons we discussed earlier, those meters were purchased in 2019 to be installed in 2019. So the meters that were actually installed in 2019 are part of this recovery request.
Q. Okay. And are there meters that you purchased in 2019 that aren't installed yet?
A. (Tebbetts) I don't know. But they're not part of this request. If they were, then they were left out of the request.
Q. Okay. And the same with the transformers?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. They're all in service?
A. (Tebbetts) The costs associated with the 514,000 worth of transformers, yes.
Q. Okay. So can you explain the accounting change that you made?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. Let me just pull up my data response real quickly. Sorry. There's a
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whole lot of papers.
Q. Okay.
A. (Tebbetts) Okay. So the accounting change was -- so, for all other types of installations -- think of a pole installation. We purchase a pole, it goes into inventory. And then we have a pole accident, we'll say, and we have to now take that pole out of inventory. We create a work order, and that work order has a couple charges on it. First charge it has is the pole itself, then the second charge it has is the labor with some burdens and other items. But those are the two major pieces. And at the time that that pole is installed, that work order now becomes a capital work order. And we go through the accounting to ensure that we report it appropriately, and then it gets into Account 106 from the CWIP, and then it gets placed into our asset base. For meters, we described that we had found some issues in the past where we had maybe a meter person go out there a couple of times, because they would take the meter out,
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they would test it, they would put another meter in, and then they would go back out there or replace a meter because it was faulty, things like that. And we had some issues with regards to double booking of these costs.

So to avoid that issue, when you receive your shipment, we'll call it, of your meters, instead of just placing them into inventory and then taking it out of inventory just as I described with that pole and then capitalizing it when it was installed, we capitalize it when we receive the shipment for the meters that will be utilized that year. And so we capitalize that. And for the meters, we add the labor costs. So I do believe the labor costs is $\$ 126$ a meter. And so we add that to the cost of the meter. So automatically we have a work order now to be capitalized for the meters to be installed that year without actually going in and installing them yet.

So what happens is, now let's say we have a faulty meter. Customer calls and they
have a high bill. So we go out there and we take a look at their meter. We say, all right, we're going to bring it back to test it. Well, we have to give them another meter. So that meter that was in our inventory, but not really, right -- because as I mentioned, it's not like the pole that's just sitting in inventory; it's actually been capitalized -- we would then expense that labor of the meter person going out there to actually replace that meter.

So the difference is instead of capitalizing that meter at the time that the meter actually goes into service and goes to the customer's home or business, it's done pre-installation with the labor. And so then we track, you know, what we've installed for that year. So in this case, what we installed for the year was $\$ 952,000$ for meters.

So I hope the pole installation and the difference between the meter installation and the timing of when those things are charged helps explain the difference in accounting.
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Q. Did the labor used to be an expense, the labor of installing the meter?
A. (Tebbetts) No. No. The labor would be charged, just like that pole install, at the time of installation of the meter. So it was never -- it's never been an expense. But because we already capture the labor when we pre-capitalize the meter, we would never charge the work order again when they physically go out to replace the meter, and so now it just becomes an expense.
Q. And you must estimate how many meters you think you're going to install in 2019 when you capitalize it. Is there a true-up to make sure that you're not capitalizing more meters than you're actually installing or you're under-capitalizing?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. So our procurement team handles all of that. And we know what needs to be installed based on customer requests.

In 2019, we had a significant amount of customer requests for meter installations, and so we, you know, keep track of it in that way.
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Q. Okay. Mr. Strabone, I heard that I believe you think that the Barron substation and the Salem -- what's the name of it?
A. (Strabone) Salem Depot.
Q. Salem Depot and Barron substation, yeah, both need to be retired. And you said -- was it this year?
A. (Strabone) It would be retired once we address the capacity issues in the area. So once Rockingham substation came online, Salem Depot and Barron could be retired at that point.
Q. And is the Rockingham substation being constructed right now?
A. (Strabone) No, it's not. We're still in the engineering phase of that.
Q. And how long will that take?
A. (Strabone) We expect to be complete with engineering in the next several months, with hopefully construction over the fall and be ready -- fall into winter of next year and be ready for service about May 2021.
Q. Do you believe that the infrastructure that you have in place with all the growth in that
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area is sufficient to continue to provide safe and reliable service, or are you worried about it?
A. (Strabone) I have concerns with -- with the projected load growth of the area and with knowing that we have asset conditions, I have concerns that we could provide safe and reliable service in the area going forward.
Q. And so what are you doing to address that? Is there anything else you can do other than build a new substation at Rockingham?
A. (Strabone) We addressed some of the load growth and issues with the 19 L 8 and L 6 feeders that we did in 2019 out at Golden Rock. We have another project out of Golden Rock to add another feeder, which is the 19L4, which will also help with that. But then ultimately Rockingham would be the overall solution, also in conjunction to what we have planned, to help mitigate a lot of those issues. Short of building that, we would have to look at rebuilding the existing substations, and there's issues with that. There's not enough land to accommodate the
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size of the capacity of the type of substation that we need.
Q. And my impression was, and I must have this wrong, but did you build feeders from Golden Rock to the substations that you're planning to retire?
A. (Strabone) We did. There's two out of there right now to help mitigate any loss in loading at Barron Ave. We have not retired Barron Ave. yet, as we still need that to help bring us along to when Rockingham is built.
Q. And will those feeders be useless once you retire Barron out?
A. (Strabone) No. They'll help continue feeding the load that was once fed by Barron Ave.
Q. Okay. All right. I think Mr. Dexter's thorough cross-examination asked the rest of my questions, so that's all I have. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Giaimo.

INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONER GIAIMO:
Q. I guess I was half expecting to start my
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questions with good morning, but good late afternoon.

I have a couple questions. They should be pretty quick. They're mostly about the walk-in center. But I had one question about the meters.

When $I$ read the testimony, it felt as though the Company -- something's wrong with the meter. The Company never fixes a meter. They just completely replace it. Is that right, or is that just me not reading the testimony properly?
A. (Strabone) For a meter, if a customer -- if there's an issue with a meter not being read or there's other reasons to suspect the meter's not correct, they can go out and do a field test on it and make sure that it's meeting industry standards. If it doesn't meet those standards, then it's just replaced and brought back. But if it's not being -if they're having issues with AMR, I believe at that point it's just a replacement. I don't know if there's any field testing they can do about that. But for recording, you
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know, actual usage and other stuff like that, there is field testing they can do to verify that it is recording properly. And if it is, they can reinstall it. Or, you know, if there's issues, they'll replace it and bring it back.
Q. Okay. That's helpful. Thanks for the clarification.

I think $I$ read in the testimony at least three times that you selected the second lowest bidder because the first lowest bidder was actually doing other work for you. Do I have that right? How frequently does that happen? And is there a way to better plan around that issue?
A. (Tebbetts) If you wouldn't mind, Commissioner Giaimo, exactly which project are you referring to?
Q. I just thought I read that a couple times in the testimony. I didn't flag it, but I felt like it happened more than once, which made me prompt the question if it's a bigger issue or if it's just a couple one-offs.
A. (Strabone) I can speak in general terms that,
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for our distribution overhead lines jobs, a line reconductor from open wire to tree wire --
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. (Strabone) So just speaking in general terms regarding our overhead line reconductor projects, reconductoring bare wire to covered wire, we go out to -- we request bids. We solicit bids from local contractors, from contractors that are out of state, to get a variety of bids. And there are times where the low bidder is currently on our system doing another project. Sometimes it works well for them to -- just for timing of that project. You know, they could roll in from one project into another on our system and keep that crew working. So it does happen from time to time. And at that point we make the decision: Is it worth the wait, and can we -- you know, if it's another month or two before they finish Project A, can we wait that long before we start Project $B$ ? Or if we do have some significant deadlines, or we're trying to get it done before, you know,
\{DE 19-064\} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] \{06-22-20\}
summer peak or some other driver, we may not select the low bidder and go with the other one, the second lowest bidder.

The other thing, too, that we try to avoid is we don't always want it to seem that we're selecting Bidder $A$ on every single job. Not that we want to cause additional costs for the Company or anybody else, but if they're within, you know, a small margin of each other, going with the second lowest bidder and spreading that work out evenly is sometimes a decision we just make.
Q. Okay.
A. (Tebbetts) And I --
Q. Sorry, Ms. Tebbetts.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. I'm sorry. And I'll add that I pulled up exactly what I believe you were looking at.

And just to clarify, yes, for Project 8830-1945, we did have the lowest bidder come in. But they were already working on a job on Tallant Road for us at the same time, and they were unable to start construction at the time necessary to complete the project.
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Actually, that same bidder bid on the project for 1959 -- which, mind you, these are the two feeders for Golden Rock -- and again was working on the Tallant Road project for us. Same issue.

And then we did have one project for 8830-1958 where we had had someone awarded the bid. Originally they were the lowest bidder. But there was an issue with regards to the bid, and so we pulled the award and gave it to the second lowest bidder.

And just so that you know, all that information has been provided to the PUC Audit Staff to show exactly why the lowest bidder wasn't chosen.
Q. Thank You. And I figured there was a calculus, and $I$ just wanted to affirm my suspicion. So thank you both for that.

So I'll turn to the walk-in center. Did the customers ask for it? Was there a ground swell? Or was this just an initiative on the Company's part?
A. (Tebbetts) Yeah, so I'm going to go back to -- excuse me for one second. I have to
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pick up my paper on the floor.
Okay. I'm back. So I had -- back in
Docket DG 11-080 --
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
A. (Tebbetts) I'm sorry. 11-040. I was looking at the wrong number. As part of our settlement agreement in that docket, we noted -- and I'll read from the settlement agreement on Bates 28. The Company -actually, let me add to that. This was the docket where the assets were sold from National Grid to Liberty Utilities, just to be clear.
"The Companies will be headquartered in New Hampshire and will have a locally-based president for their New Hampshire operations. The Companies will also have local call centers, as well as walk-in customer service centers. To ensure local management authority in emergency situations, the Liberty New Hampshire president shall have at least $\$ 250,000$ in spending authority, and the vice-president of operations shall have at least $\$ 100,000$ in spending authority." So
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the idea behind this is that we made a promise back in this docket that we would have our walk-in center.

And I will add that, in terms of customer service, Staff noted Liberty's promise to uphold a customer-focused management philosophy through locally-empowered management teams and the re-establishment of a local call center, as well as a walk-in center. And that is from Order No. 25, 370, dated May 30, 2020 -- I'm sorry -- 2012, approving that settlement agreement. And that was summarizing Staff's initial position. So since then we have tried to uphold the fact that we promised we would continue to have our walk-in centers.

And I will say to you that there's a lot of -- we have had a lot of customers come through our walk-in centers. In the Salem area alone, we have about 11,000 customers that come. So when I mentioned earlier about safety for our employees and our customers, if you figure there's 20 working days a month that we're open, that's 240 days a year;
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11,000 customers in one walk-in center for that year is about 45 customers a day that are coming in, give or take. And we're collecting, if I can just grab my Set 3 here -- sorry, also on the floor. You know, in 2019 we collected over $\$ 2$ million at the walk-in center. So it kind of gives you an idea of how much money we're actually collecting with our customers.

One of the other reasons we find it really benefits our customers is the fact that customers need a payment agency to go pay cash somewhere or come to our walk-in center. And so for a lot of our customers who may be facing disconnection, they can come to our walk-in center and make that payment, set up a payment arrangement to avoid disconnect; or if they are disconnected, come in and pay cash for it, where a lot of our customers, they do that. So we have to -- so we considered this. And it's a benefit to our customers. The only other way they can get reconnected is to go somewhere like Wal*Mart and do a money order,
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and then we collect it in the field. But we don't collect any cash in the field. So, you know, we consider not only customers being able to make payments, coming in to ask questions, but we've collected a significant amount of money just in our Salem walk-in center.
(Court Reporter interrupts.)
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We'll take a break until about 4:12 or 4:13.
(Brief recess was taken at 4:08 p.m., and the hearing resumed at 4:19 p.m.) CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Back on the record.

BY COMMISSIONER GIAIMO:
Q. So at the walk-in center, what happened there? Billing? I think Ms. Tebbetts went over it briefly. So is it billing, billing resolution, complaints, customer service-related items? Is that what gets done?
A. (Tebbetts) Sure. So our customer service representatives in our walk-in centers do exactly the same function as our customer
service representatives in our Londonderry office. But they also help customers who walk in who may have a question about their bill. They can have a question about their rates. They could have questions about their service. Really, anything that you would call the call center for, a customer can walk in and ask those same, exact questions and also make payments.
Q. So you said there's 11,000, what I hear, customer contacts. But they could be the same -- it's not 11,000 customers. It's maybe 5,000 customers, with a bunch of them repeating? Is that fair to say, something like that?
A. (Tebbetts) That could be true, yes. I mean, we had 11, 000 customer visits. In 2019 we had a little over 10,000, and prior to that about 11,000 customer visits. So one customer coming in multiple times? Yes, that's true. But $I$ was just trying to get to the fact that, you know, our customer service representatives who are in the walk-in center are seeing customers that many times a year.
Q. And it's safe to say that there was a critical enough demand to warrant, or at least in the Company's mind, having a call center -- or having a walk-in center within 10 miles of another walk-in center?
A. (Tebbetts) So, you know, we have a Londonderry office and then we have our Salem office. We didn't want to leave the Salem area without the walk-in center -- well, take it out of the Salem area since it's already here. So we moved to a location that's relatively close to our other Salem office, but more downtown in that area, so that again it accommodates the same customers who were already walking into the Salem office, just a different location.
Q. Okay. But the Londonderry office, which may be 8 miles the way the crow flies, 12 miles on the road, there's walk-up service there as well; correct?
A. (Tebbetts) We do have a walk-in center over there as well.
Q. But do they do the same sort of volume you do in Salem, or is it similar?
A. (Tebbetts) Let me just take a look here. I believe Staff 3-2 asked, yeah, about the Londonderry operation. So they don't do as many customers. In 2019, we had almost 4600 customers there, and in 2019 we collected almost $\$ 1.4$ million in payments at that location. But that location is part of our headquarters here in New Hampshire as well. It's not a separate building.
Q. This year, do you have numbers for this year? I imagine obviously with COVID it will be down. But $I$ was just wondering if you had any sort of indication of --
A. (Tebbetts) Sure.
Q. -- service and/or what you did do to let people know that this new facility is there, what, probably about a mile and a half from the old facility.
A. (Tebbetts) Sure. To your first question, we closed the walk-in center in Salem on March 23rd, because of the COVID pandemic, through April. Through that time we had 2664 visits for customers, and we had collected 400 -- almost $\$ 485,000$ in Salem. In

Londonderry --
Q. So it sounds like -- I'm sorry to interrupt. But that sounds like you were on pace again for the $\$ 2$ million and 11,000. Okay.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. That's correct.
Q. Right. Okay.
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. Then at Londonderry, we had -- let's see. We also closed that walk-in center on March 23rd. And we were trending the 5200, because we had 969 through the close come to the door. And for dollars, we had $\$ 282,000$ collected through that period. So, again, we were on par with 2019, but it looks like maybe a few more customer visits than what we expected from 2019.
Q. Okay. And there's going to be a walk-in center in the Upper Valley? That's what I thought I heard. Is that correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yup. So we do have a walk-in center at our --
Q. Or you have one.
A. (Tebbetts) -- Lebanon office. Yup, we do. On Miracle Mile, we do have one there. And we do have customer service representatives.

I think what we were kind of describing in our response was that we're having the same issue, the same safety issue that we have down here.
Q. All right.
A. (Tebbetts) I don't have the data of how many customers we have going in and out of that walk-in center, though.
Q. But we'll see the same thing, you're saying, the same pattern revisit itself. Did the Company learn anything from this past time that it would do differently?
A. (Tebbetts) We're finding the same issues. But I don't understand your question about what we would do differently.
Q. Well, did you learn anything over the past -for example, did you consider buying a building rather than leasing a building? Did that -- did you need a conference center? Were there sort of things where you've done the analysis and said, hey, we learned from what happened in Salem, so we're smarter than we were before and we would do something differently?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. So we did look for a building, to purchase a building. We looked at all kinds of options in the Salem area, and there's nothing available to purchase. So then we said, all right, well, let's take a look at space to lease. So we were able to find a spot at 130 Main Street in Salem.

With regards to adding the conference room, as I mentioned earlier this morning, you know, we had the walls open and we had a little bit of extra space, so it provides an opportunity for us to have another conference room in this area. In our 9 Lowell Road location, we have two conference rooms. And so it makes it -- and no offices. So that makes it a little difficult to have a conversation with, you know, someone who you're working with or be on a phone call. So just today we're in a hearing, and we're in one of the little conference rooms doing the hearing here. So it's helpful that we do have a conference room.

Same thing over there. We do have a few other employees who actually report to that
location. They're no longer at the 9 Lowell Road along with the call center folks. So, you know, if they need to take a personal phone call, et cetera, then they have a conference room to go into.

If we had to run a storm out of there, we have the video and audio necessary to do that. You know, that's also one of the things that we could also utilize that location for, if we had to have a storm room at 9 Lowell Road and then maybe the conference room running.

So the idea behind just the walls are open and putting together the -- creating a conference room out of that area, it just made sense because, yeah, we don't have that space at 9 Lowell Road.
Q. Okay. And the addition of the conference room, that represents the significant part of the overrun associated with the walk-in center, the $\$ 280,000$ or so?
A. (Tebbetts) That was part of it. But the other part of it was, as Mr. Dexter discussed, the burdens associated with it at
the time. So it wasn't all just contractor costs. A lot of that had to do with the burden overheads that were applied to the job, which are part of all that calculation each month when we have charges.
Q. But it sounds like, at least the way it was written, the testimony was written, it almost sounds like the Company was surprised that it found itself in a situation where the walls were going to be open and then said, well, it only makes sense to incur a greater expense because the benefit outweighs the cost.
A. (Tebbetts) Some of it, yeah. We did run into some issues with construction. And, you know, I don't have attachments right in front of me on Staff 1-6. But we did run into some issues with construction with regards to that area, and then making it like handicapped-accessible and things like that. So I don't believe it was just the conference center issue. I think that was probably a decent part of it. But we did run into a few construction issues. And again, I don't have the attachments in front of me. But we did
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have a few other issues, too. It was a combination, $I$ guess, of a bunch of things. Okay. Well, Mr. Strabone and Ms. Tebbetts, it's been certainly a long day, and you've answered a lot of questions. So I do thank you for your time.

COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: Madam Chair, that's all the questions $I$ have.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.
Thank you. You just managed to tick off a couple more of mine.

INTERROGATORIES BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
Q. Ms. Tebbetts, earlier you talked about relocating the Salem walk-in location and the employees that went along with it. Do you know how many additional employees are at the new location as compared to the old?
A. (Tebbetts) So we have -- let me just take a look. I want to say we put that in 1-6. But let me just take a look. Yeah, we did. Okay.

Okay. So we had the call center employees, which we said were two -- there's three of the call center folks -- there are
two customer service representatives and one lead customer service representative; one IT employee; one Business Risk and Analysis Department employee; and one Compliance, Quality and Emergency -- I have to look on the page, $I$ don't know their title -Management employee. So there are three additional employees over there as well, along with our call center folks. And again, the reason we moved them over there is space issue at the 9 Lowell Road location.
Q. Do you have additional information -- the question was asked earlier about the lease term at the new location and disposition of capital investments if the lease terminates. Do you have that information? And if you don't, can you submit that as a record request?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. For as long as the -- like how long the lease is? Is that what you're asking?
Q. Yes. I think the question was asked, and you didn't have the information related to the term of the lease and also what happens to
the capital investments if the lease is terminated.

MR. SHEEHAN: We can provide that as a record request. The term of the lease would be one sentence. And the second question, we'll find the appropriate accounting person to put in a sentence or two how that capitalization is treated.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
Q. The other information that it sounded like you don't have with you today relates to the specific work, the scope and cost of work done at the old location at Lowell Road. Is that information you can provide in a record request?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. I think all my other questions have been answered. Thank you very much for all your time today.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Sheehan, do you have follow-up questions?

MR. SHEEHAN: I have a few. And
like you, many of the questions have been
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ticked off as we went along.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SHEEHAN :
Q. Just quickly, at the outset of this hearing there was conversations about when Staff was provided information that ended up in these step increases, the particular projects and details regarding that. So, Ms. Tebbetts, do you know when the Company first provided Staff the list of projects that form the basis of this step adjustment?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. So we have provided as part of the OCA data request -- and of course my -- forgive me for a moment because my computer just slightly froze, as always.

So we were asked to provide all of the models from the OCA. And we originally provided it in OCA 1-2.3. That was the data request. And that was provided on, $I$ believe it was June 13th, 2019. But again, my computer froze. So that's the day I wrote down here.
Q. And with that model, was there a way to find the 2019 projects that would comprise --
could comprise this step?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. So there is a tab. Give me one moment. I just want to grab my paper, please.
(Pause)
Okay. So there is a tab in there that was in the model, the revenue requirement model, that was -- it's called 2019 Capital Budget. And in that tab, all of the capital projects that were part of our step request in our original filing were on that page. And so then we -- you know, there was opportunity to look at that list at that time when we provided it as part of the OCA's request for our models.
Q. And we don't need to go through the details. But there were several data requests through the fall of 2019 asking for more details about various 2019 projects, their status, their cost, et cetera; is that correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes. Correct. I do recall Staff 3-28, which asked a bunch of questions about specific projects, and not any of the projects we discussed today were in that data
request.
And then the OCA did ask us two data requests. The first was OCA 2-12, which was a request of the 2019 projects and where they were in spend at that time. So at the time we had gotten the data request, it was June -- July 10th. So we provided data through May 31st for spending. And then in the fall we did receive a request to update that document with all of the 2019 projects, and that was due October 10th. And the information we provided was through August 31st of 2019. And it did include the projects that we discussed today.
Q. Thank you. The only question regarding the walk-in center is the Chair asked for a record request for the backup for the Lowell Road projects. But has the Company provided all of the backup for the walk-in center to the auditors?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes, I provided everything that the PUC Audit Staff had requested with regards to this project.
Q. And my last couple questions. The three

Golden Rock projects that Staff focused on today, the work within the substation and the two feeders, is it fair to say -- well, is it fair to say that motivation, the reason for those projects was a combination of asset condition and to be able to serve current and impending load as you described with the growth of the Salem project?
A. (Strabone) Yes, that's correct to say.
Q. And as you had a conversation with

Commissioner Bailey, those are the preliminary steps you're taking to serve load immediately and in the next few months as we work on the plan to address the overall Salem situation; right?
A. (Strabone) That's correct. Those are a pivotal part of providing safe and reliable service to the area.
Q. Are any of the -- the settlement agreement had some language in it in which the parties agreed that no investments in the rate case or in this step would be used to argue -that the agreement would be used to argue that the later Tuscan investments would be
precluded or not. Do you recall that language?
A. (Strabone) Yes.
Q. Heather?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
Q. And the purpose of that was to acknowledge that there's still, between Staff and OCA and the Company, there's still not agreement on exactly what needs to be done for the overall Salem work, and we collectively agreed to put that off for another day. Is that fair?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
A. (Strabone) Yes.
Q. And we spent a lot of time today talking about the load in Salem, how we plan to serve the load in Salem, and provide all cost estimates. But none of that is -- we're not asking the Commission to resolve any of that today. Is that correct?
A. (Tebbetts) Yes.
A. (Strabone) Yes.

MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have.
Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.

Thank you.
So we have Exhibits 41 to 62 . And without objection, we will strike the I.D. on those. Oh, Mr. Dexter, I see your hand.

MR. DEXTER: Sorry. As you were moving into exhibits, $I$ thought it might be helpful to add one exhibit to what's been listed so far. I had put in Staff Data Response 1-6 as Exhibit 41, I believe, but I did not put in the attachments. And there's been a lot of talk today about the attachments. It includes the contract -it's not Exhibit 41. Excuse me. It's Exhibit 46. And the attachments, I didn't put them in originally just to save space. But it includes the original contract, and it includes change-order materials from the contractor -- not from the Company, but from the contractor. And it includes a bunch of invoices. And I think it's going to be necessary to explore this question of whether or not the $\$ 567,000$ that was spent included work done at the Lowell Road facility, as well as the Main Street facility. I've been
trying to look through that stuff during the course of the day, and I looked at it before the hearing, and this was frankly news to me that this $\$ 567,000$ included Lowell Road expenses. I just didn't see any evidence of that. But I'd like to look at it more carefully and give the Commission the opportunity to do that.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Is there any objection to that?

MR. SHEEHAN: Just a comment. In the record request you asked for some of the backup. We're probably going to include all of that information. So we have no objection. And what we will be doing is going through attachments to $1-6$, which is Exhibit 46, and determining whether anything else should be added to the record request.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Is that an agreeable approach, Mr. Dexter? So there would be an additional exhibit taken as a record request which would include, sounds like, all of the attachments to Exhibit 46 and any additional responsive information.

MR. DEXTER: Yes, that would be very helpful. It's about 50 pages, maybe 60 pages, the attachments.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did I describe that right, Mr. Sheehan?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes. I understood it to be the attachments we provided in discovery plus anything else that we think would be responsive to the record request.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
Mr. Kreis.
MR. KREIS: Madam Chairwoman, did you just call on me because it's time for closing statements?

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: No. I was asking if you had any input on adding an additional exhibit -- or combining the attachments to the current Exhibit 46 that were not submitted with the exhibit into my record request with the additional information provided by the Company.

MR. KREIS: Oh, I'm sorry. I was
listening to that, but I couldn't quite figure out why you asked me what I thought.

I have no opinion about that and certainly no objection to it.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.
Excellent.
All right. Anything else on exhibits?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: So we will
leave Exhibits 41 to 62 as they currently are and admit them as full exhibits. And then we will have a record request for Exhibit 63, which will be the one that we just discussed, Exhibit 46 attachments plus the other information.

And Exhibit 64 we will hold open for my second request which -- do we need more detail on that, or do you have it, Mr. Sheehan?

MR. SHEEHAN: It was for the terms of the lease and how the lease capital costs would be treated accounting-wise. Was the request just for the terms, or was the request for the lease itself?

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: No, just for
the answers to those questions.
MR. SHEEHAN: Okay. Then we're all set.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Can you provide those by Thursday, the 24th?

MR. SHEEHAN: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Anything else we need to do before closing argument?

MR. DEXTER: Madam Chairwoman, I'd like to make a bit of an unusual request, and that would be to put off closing arguments until a future date, possibly tomorrow. With the video conference, it's very difficult for me to communicate with the other members of the Electric Division. And we have addressed a number of very important topics here today, resulting in -- you know, dealing with millions of dollars of investments and resulting in over a million-dollar rate change. And I would -- we've raised a lot of questions today and we've learned a lot today. And I would like to sift through that with the analysts on the case and deliver --
'cause Staff really hasn't taken a position to be able to do that in closing. And I would like to deliver Staff's position some time other than right now.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other parties want to weigh in on that?

MR. SHEEHAN: It's a tough thing to weigh in "from the hip" as they say. We have obviously the same procedural, mechanical difficulties. And of course we are all e-mailing back and forth as we can. Certainly we were all having issues going into today. And, you know, all the facts that came out today were all available prior to today, so I'm not generally sympathetic to the request. That being said -- nor do I want to hamstring what would be perhaps a productive closing tomorrow. So I -- it puts me into a bit of a box. I'm not sure is the answer. So the lawyer in me says object and the problem solver says don't. So I guess I'll come down to $I$ don't object, but $I$ don't have -- how do we ever get this whole group together tomorrow? I know my calendar is
literally full from eight til six.
MR. KREIS: That is my problem as well. I might suggest written filings in lieu of oral argument, given all of the material that's been placed into the record today.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Dexter, response to that?

MR. DEXTER: That would be very difficult time-wise, but I suppose that would be preferable. But that would need to be in by Thursday or Friday as well because we're trying to wrap this up by July 30th.

How does the Commission's calendar look at all this week? Is there a hearing where we could jump in before or after for an hour when you're all available?

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Other than tomorrow, I think it's fairly full. Mid to early afternoon Wednesday, we may be able -it might be helpful, if I've heard everyone's position, to take a five-minute recess so I could talk with the other Commissioners.

MR. DEXTER: Sure.
[WITNESSES: HEATHER TEBBETTS, ANTHONY STRABONE]

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Let's do that and come back at 4:50 or so.
(Brief recess was taken at 4:45 p.m., and the hearing resumed at 4:55 p.m.)

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Looks like we have everybody now, so let's go back on the record.

The Commissioners discussed it, and we would like to entertain closing arguments at a later date and are hoping that Thursday, after the Liberty hearing, would work for everyone, at 1:00 on the 24th.

Mr. Dexter, does that work for you?
MR. DEXTER: Thursday at 1 p.m.?
And that would be for --
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Oh, that's me.
MR. DEXTER: That would be for closing statements.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yes, just for closing arguments.

MR. DEXTER: Thursday, June 25th.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Oh, is Thursday the -- that's right. Today's the 22nd. Yes, the 25th. I apologize.

MR. DEXTER: Yes, I'm free that day. And that would be a much appreciated break. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Sheehan.
MR. SHEEHAN: As you noted, we'll be before you at the energy service hearing, so we'll all be sitting here. Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Kreis.
MR. KREIS: Chairwoman Martin, I have no objection to your conducting or entertaining closing statements on Thursday afternoon. I'm not sure whether we will appear to make such a statement or not, given that we haven't really participated today. We do have a technical session in another docket on Thursday, and that may have a more urgent claim on my attention.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Would you like to make a statement now or any comments?

MR. KREIS: I have no comments to make at this time. I really -- based on what I heard today, I'm prepared to consign this whole matter to your good judgment.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Excellent.
[WITNESSES: HEATHER TEBBETTS, ANTHONY STRABONE]

Thank you very much.
Okay. Then we will continue until
Thursday at 1:00 solely for purposes of closing statements from Staff and the Company.

Anything else?
[No verbal response]
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.
Then we are adjourned today. Thank you, everyone.
(Hearing adjourned at 4:57 p.m..)
[WITNESSES: HEATHER TEBBETTS, ANTHONY STRABONE]
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